Mariana Archipelago Fishery Ecosystem Plan 2009 Annual Report

April 2011



James Borja, Avid Guam fisherman

Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council 1164 Bishop St., Suite 1400 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813



Mariana Archipelago Fishery Ecosystem Plan Annual Report

Information and data analysis for this document provided by scientists at NMFS Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, Guam Department of Agriculture, Division of Aquatic and Wildlife Resources; Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Division of Fish and Wildlife; the FEP Plan Team, and NMFS Pacific Islands Regional Office

Table of Contents

List of Figures	5
List of Tables	6
1.1 Mariana Archipelago 2009	7
2.0 Archipelagic Fisheries	8
2.0.1 Guam Data Collection	8
2.0.2 CNMI Data Collection	9
2.1 Guam Bottomfish Fishery	10
2.1.1. Introduction to Guam's Bottomfish Fishery	10
2.1.2 Guam Fishery Performance and Economic Data	11
2.1.2.1 Guam Landings	11
2.1.2.2 Guam Bottomfish CPUE	15
2.1.2.3 Guam Effort	17
2.1.2.4 Guam Bottomfish Revenue	18
2.1.2.5 Guam Fishery Bycatch and Protected Species	20
2.1.2.6 Non-commercial Fishery	21
2.2 CNMI Bottomfish Fishery	21
2.2.1. Introduction to CNMI's Bottomfish Fishery	21
2.2.2 CNMI Fishery Performance and Economic Data	22
2.2.2.1 CNMI Landings	22
2.2.2.2 CNMI Bottomfish CPUE	25
2.2.2.3 CNMI Effort	26
2.2.2.4 CNMI Bottomfish Revenue	27
2.2.2.5 CNMI Fishery Bycatch and Protected Species	29
2.2.2.6 Non-commercial Fishery	31
2.3 Archipelagic Ecosystem Components	31
2.4 Archipelago Research	31
2.5 Stock Assessments	32
3.0 Mariana Archipelago Coral Reef Fisheries	33
3.0.1. Introduction to Archipelagic Coral Reef Fisheries	33
3.1 Guam's Coral Reef Fishery	34
3.1.1. Introduction	34
3.1.2 Fishery Performance and Economic Data	34
3.1.2.1 Guam Landings	34
3.1.3.2 Guam CPUE	37
3.1.3.3 Guam Effort	38
3.1.3.4 Guam Revenue	38
3.1.3.5 Guam Bycatch and Protected Species	39
3.1.3.6 Guam Non-commercial Fishery	39
3.2 CNMI's Coral Reef Fishery	40
3.2.1 Introduction.	40
3.2.2 Fishery Performance and Economic Data	10
	40

3222 CNMI CPUE	44
3.2.2.2 CNMI Effort	45
3.2.2.4 CNMI Revenue	46
3.2.2.5 CNMI Bycatch and Protected Species	48
3.2.2.6 CNMI Non-commercial Fishery	48
3.3 Mariana Archipelago Ecosystem Components	48
3.4 Mariana Archipelago Research and Monitoring	48
3.4.1 Current and Ongoing Research/Monitoring	48
3.4.2 Research Needs	49
3.5 Stock Assessments	50
4.0 Crustaceans Fishery	51
4.1 Guam's Crustaceans Fishery	51
4.1.1. Introduction to Guam's Crustaceans Fishery	51
4.1.2 Fishery Performance and Economic Data	51
4.1.2.1 Guam Lobster Landings and Revenue	51
4.1.3 Bycatch and Protected Species	53
4.1.4 Guam Non-commercial Fishery	53
4.2 CNMI's Crustaceans Fishery	53
4.2.1. Introduction to CNMI's Crustaceans Fishery	53
4.2.2 Fishery Performance and Economic Data	53
4.2.2.1 CNMI Lobster Landings	53
4.2.2.2 CNMI Lobster Revenue	55
4.2.3 Bycatch and Protected Species	55
4.2.4 Non-commercial Fishery	55
4.3 Archipelagic Ecosystem Components	55
4.4 Archipelagic Research	55
4.5 Stock Assessments	55
5.1 Precious Corals Fishery	56
5.1.1. Introduction to Precious Corals Fishery	56
5.1.2 Fishery Performance and Economic Data	56
5.1.3 Research	56
5.1.4 Stock Assessments	57
6.0 Fishing Community	57
6.1 Community Demonstration Projects Program & Marine Education and Training.	57
6.2 Outreach and Education	58
7.0 Administrative and Enforcement Actions	59
7.1 Administrative Actions	59
7.2 Enforcement Actions	60
7.3 Plan Team Recommendations	60
8.0 Conclusion	60

List of Figures

Figure 1: Guam Bottomfish Catch, Boat and Shore, 1982-2009	13
Figure 2: Guam Charter & Non-charter Vessel Bottomfish Landings, 1982-2009	14
Figure 3: Guam Snapper Landings, Shore and Boat-based, 1982-2009	14
Figure 4: Guam Bottomfish Catch per Unit Effort (lb/hour), 1990-2009	16
Figure 5: Number of Vessels in Guam's Bottomfish Fishery, 1982-2009	18
Figure 6: Guam Bottomfishing Trips (Charter & Non-charter combined), 1982-2009	18
Figure 7: 2009 CNMI Estimated Monthly Bottomfish Landings (1,000 lb)	24
Figure 8: CNMI Bottomfish Landings (1,000 lb), 1981-2009	24
Figure 9: CNMI Commercial Landings of All Shallow-water Bottomfish, Emperors an	d
Shallow-water Snappers, 1983-2009	25
Figure 10: CNMI Commercial Bottomfish Landings of All Deep-water Bottomfish,	
Onaga, Opakapaka and Deep-water Grouper, 1983-2009	25
Figure 11: Catch-per-unit of Effort in CNMI Bottomfish Fishery, 1983-2009	26
Figure 12: CNMI Annual Bottomfish Fishery Participation, 1983-2009	27
Figure 13: CNMI Annual Bottomfish Trips, 1983-2009	27
Figure 14: CNMI Bottomfish Revenue (\$) and Landings (lb), 1983-2009	29
Figure 15: Guam Boat and Shore-based Expanded Catch Composition, 2009	34
Figure 16: Guam Shore and Boat-based Expanded Catches, 1982-2009	35
Figure 17: Guam Boat-based Expanded Catch of Coral Reef MUS 1982-2009	35
Figure 18: Guam Shore-based Coral Reef Expanded Catch, 1985-2009	36
Figure 19: Guam Boat-based CPUE for Coral Reef Fishing, Bottomfishing Method,	
1982-2009	37
Figure 20: Guam Boat-based CPUE for Coral Reef Fishing, Trolling Method, 1982-20	09
	38
Figure 21: Relative Value of Guam's Coral Reef MUS, 2009	38
Figure 22: CNMI Boat-based Coral Reef MUS Expanded Catch (lb) by Method, 2000-	11
2009 Figure 22: CNMI Shore and Boot based Expanded Catch Composition 2000	41
Figure 24: CNMI Shore based Corol Paef MUS Expanded Catch by Mathod 2005 00	41
Figure 25: CNMI Coral Reef Boat based Top 3 MUS Catch 2000 2000	42
Figure 26: CNMI Coral Reef Boat based Top 4 6 MUS Catch, 2000-2009	42
Figure 27: CNMI Bost-based CPUEs (lb/br) Bottomfishing Method. Top 3 MUS (top)	43)
and Top 4-6 MUS (bottom), 2000-2009) 11
Figure 28: CNML CPLIEs (lb/hr) Spear/sporkel Method. Top 3 MUS (top) and Top 4-6	
MUS (bottom) 2000-2009	45
Figure 29: CNMI Coral Reef Top 3 MUS Average Inflation-adjusted Price (\$/lb) 1983	3_
2009	, 46
Figure 30: Estimated Top MUS in Value in CNMI's 2009 Commercial Reef Fish Cate	h
	47
Figure 31: Guam 2009 Monthly Lobster Landings	52
Figure 32: Guam 2009 Monthly Lobster Revenue	52
Figure 33: CNMI Monthly Spiny lobster Landings, 1981-2009	54
Figure 34: CNMI Lobster Landings (1000 lb), 1981-2009	54

List of Tables

Table 1: Mariana Archipelago Bottomfish MUS	10
Table 2: Expanded Boat-based Creel Survey Bottomfish Catch Data, 2009	11
Table 3: Bottomfish Landings (lb) 1982-2009, by Sector	12
Table 4: Guam Bottomfish CPUE, Shallow, Deep and All, 1982-2009	17
Table 5: Guam Commercial Bottomfish Prices for 2009	19
Table 6: Guam Bottomfish Landings and Revenue, 1980-2009	20
Table 7: Guam Bottomfish Bycatch Summary, 2001-2009	21
Table 8: CNMI Bottomfish Fishery Statistics, 1983-2009	23
Table 9: CNMI Bottomfish Landings, Revenue, and Price 2009	28
Table 10: CNMI Bottomfish Bycatch, 2009	30
Table 11: Guam CRE MUS Expanded Catch (lb), 2005-2009	36
Table 12: CNMI Coral Reef Shore and Boat-based Expanded Catch, 2005-2009	43
Table 13: Mariana Archipelago Crustacean MUS	51
Table 14: Mariana Archipelago Precious Corals MUS	56

1.0 Introduction

Pursuant to the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA), fishery management councils create fishery management plans (FMP) to manage fisheries in their respective regions. The Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council (Council) developed the Hawaii Islands Archipelago Fishery Ecosystem Plan¹ (FEP) as an FMP, consistent with the MSA and the national standards for fishery conservation and management, in 2009. The Council's archipelagic FEPs represent the first step in a collaborative approach to implementing an ecosystem-based approach to fishery management in the Hawaiian Islands. In addition, the organizational structure for developing and implementing the Hawaii Islands Archipelago FEP incorporates community input and local knowledge into the management process. This report is the first annual FEP report on Council-managed insular fisheries and activities in Hawaii.

The Mariana Islands Archipelago FEP established the framework under which the Council manages the fishery resources of Guam and the islands of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI), and seeks to integrate and implement an ecosystem approaches to management. The FEP did not establish any new fisheries or fishery management regulations. The FEP identified as management unit species (MUS) those current management unit species known to be present in waters around the Mariana Archipelago and incorporated all of the management provisions of the Bottomfish and Seamount Groundfish FMP, the Crustaceans FMP, the Precious Corals FMP, and the Coral Reef Ecosystems FMP currently applicable to the area.

1.1 Mariana Archipelago 2009

For 2009, the most significant action would be the ongoing and future military buildup on Guam and other areas of the CNMI. The buildup would include the relocation of up to 40,000 additional people to Guam, a significant impact to an island of merely 212 sq mi and currently populated with approximately 160,000 people. This is likely a major event significantly affecting the fishing community, habitat, and potentially fish stocks in Guam and parts of the CNMI in the near future.

In 2009, bottomfish landings in Guam, nearly 90,000 lbs, were the highest since 2003 and adjusted commercial revenue increased 41% to nearly \$58,000. Effort and overall catch rate in Guam's bottomfish fishery increased over time.

In CNMI, during 2009 approximately 38,000 lbs of bottomfish were estimated as landed by approximately 40 fishers with a value just above \$107,000. The majority was landed during the first half of the year (January-May). Total landings during 2009 were considerably less than CNMI's bottomfish catch in 2005 (nearly 70,000 lbs). This, in part, because most of the 8 larger vessels that previously fished the northern islands did

¹ Can be located at:

http://wpcouncil.org/fep/WPRFMC%20American%20Samoa%20FEP%20(2009-09-22).pdf

not fish in 2009.

2.0 Archipelagic Fisheries

The Mariana Archipelago is comprised of the US Territory of Guam and the US Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI). The archipelago's indigenous Chamorro and Refaluwasch communities have a long history of fishing which continues today primarily for subsistence, barter and cultural sharing purposes, such as for fiestas and food exchanges with family and friends as well as for commercial sale. Noncommercial fishing in the Mariana Islands cannot be easily distinguished from commercial fishing because many fishing trips result in catch which is sold and retained for personal consumption. In addition, there are strong social obligations to share fish on Guam and CNMI and these obligations extend to all fishery sectors. Though little is known about the economic contribution of recreational fisheries, it is likely that the social and cultural importance of fisheries outweighs any economic value in the Mariana Islands.

The major fisheries in the Mariana Archipelago FEP include bottomfish, coral reef, and crustaceans fisheries. The major commercial fishery is the pelagics fishery which includes a small amount of longlining, trolling, and pole-and-line fishing. Pelagic fisheries are not included in this report as they are managed under the Pacific Pelagics FEP and will be part of that annual report.

2.0.1 Guam Data Collection

Guam currently has four fishery-dependent collection programs which can be described as a long-term data collection programs comprised of different approaches for collecting important fishery information from fishermen. The four programs are: 1) offshore data collection program; 2) inshore data collection program; 3) commercial receipt books; and 4) volunteer information program. Sportfish Restoration provides a significant portion of the funding for these programs. Training of the fishery staff to collect information is rigorous. Identification of fish to species level is the goal of Guam's fishery staff. The annual catch totals are calculated by an expansion process done in collaboration with NOAA's Pacific Islands Fishery Science Center (PIFSC).

The offshore and inshore creel survey programs collects fishery participation, effort, and catch data from fishermen. DAWR collaborates with PIFSC that maintains the fishery database and provides summary of the data on an annual basis in the form of trends that describe status of the various fisheries, both charter and non-charter, in federal and local waters. The volunteer data collection program's goal was to obtain volunteer data from fishermen; however, information for this program was minimal. The commercial receipt book program is an important source of information for fish that enter the commercial market; however, obtaining information from dealers has been sporadic, with less than three (3) dealers throughout the time series providing data. Improving data collection programs to enable more accurate estimations of total catches was addressed at the November 2009 Western Pacific Region Fisheries Data Workshop One. The chronic challenge is refusals from fishermen to consistently provide data and persuading fishermen that providing data is a way to ensure a sustainable harvest is still an ongoing effort. Nonetheless, Guam's long term offshore, inshore, and commercial data programs provide an adequate picture of the fishery.

Significant data gaps that is currently being addressed include: 1) obtaining useful data from highliners such as commercial fishermen (e.g. spear fishers, netters, non-GFCA members) and fishermen from non-surveyed ports; and 2) persuading commercial vendors to provide catch data with breakdown at least to the actual fish families rather than generic "reef fish" designations. Overcoming these challenges will provide better and more useful fishery data about fish species and families that may be at risk.

2.0.2 CNMI Data Collection

CNMI's data collection system is dependent upon voluntary participation of the first-level purchasers of local fresh fish to accurately record all fish purchased to species categories on specially designed invoices. Division of Fish and Wildlife (DFW) staff routinely collected and distributed invoice books to around 30 participating local fish purchasers in 2009 which include the majority of the fish markets, stores, restaurants, hotels, government agencies, and roadside vendors (fish-mobiles). The reduction in participants last year is due to the economic downturn in CNMI that forced a number of vendors and businesses to close.

Although CNMI's data collection system has been in operation since the mid-1970s, only data collected since 1983 are considered accurate enough to be comparable for most aspects of the fishery. The identification and categorization of fishes on the sales invoices had improved markedly in the last 10 years. Unfortunately, two inherent problems remained in the database. First, a number of the bottomfish MUS are not listed on the sales receipts. This was partially corrected by the addition of new taxa (but not all bottomfih MUS species) to the receipts (black jack, giant trevally, amberjack, ehu, blueline snapper, and kalikali were added to sales invoices in 2001). Moreover, for those BMUS species not specifically listed on the receipts there remains some confusion regarding where they should be added to the receipts. Second, the commercial sales invoice is a voluntary program and not all vendors participate.

2.1 Guam Bottomfish Fishery

2.1.1. Introduction to Guam's Bottomfish Fishery

Bottomfishing on Guam is a combination of recreational, subsistence, and smallscale commercial fishing. It can be separated into two distinct fisheries targeting species complexes separated by depth and species composition: shallow-water and deep-water complexes. The shallow water complex (<500 feet) makes up a larger portion of the total bottomfish effort and harvest and is comprised primarily of reef-dwelling species under genus *Lutjanus*, *Lethrinus*, *Aprion*, *Epinephelus*, *Variola*, *Cephalopholis* and *Caranx* (part of the bottomfish MUS or BMUS). The deepwater complex (>500 feet) consists primarily of groupers and snappers of the genera *Pristipomoides*, *Etelis*, *Aphareus*, *Epinephelus*, and *Cephalopholis* (see Table 1 for all BMUS). In recent years, deepwater species have made up a significant portion of the expanded bottomfishing catch.

The majority of participants in Guam's bottomfish fishery are either subsistence or part-time commercial that operate boats less than 25 feet in length and primarily target the shallow water bottomfish complex. It is uncommon for fishermen to combine bottomfishing with other methods such as trolling, spearing, and jigging to maximize their catch. The high demand for reef fish and bottomfish had made it profitable to sell locally caught bottomfish. However, the rise in the cost of fuel and fishing gear, as well as, weather conditions affect bottomfishing activities.

Local Name	English Common Name	Scientific Name		
Chamorro/Carolinian				
lehi/maroobw	red snapper/silvermouth	Aphareus rutilans		
gogunafon/aiwe	gray snapper/jobfish	Aprion virescens		
tarakitu/etam	giant trevally/jack	Caranx ignobilis		
tarakiton attelong/orong	black trevally/jack	C. lugubris		
gadao/meteyil	blacktip grouper	Epinephelus fasciatus		
bueli/bwele	lunartail grouper	Variola louti		
buninas agaga'/falaghal moroobw	red snapper	Etelis carbunculus		
abuninas/taighulupegh	red snapper	E. coruscans		
mafuti/atigh	redgill emperor	Lethrinus rubrioperculatus		
funai/saas	blueline snapper	Lutjanus kasmira		
buninas/falaghal-maroobw	yellowtail snapper	Pristipomoides auricilla		
buninas or pakapaka/falaghal-maroobw	pink snapper	P. filamentosus		
buninas/falaghal-maroobw	yelloweye snapper	P. flavipinnis		

Table 1: Mariana Archipelago Bottomfish MUS

Local Name	English Common Name	Scientific Name	
Chamorro/Carolinian			
NA	pink snapper	P. seiboldii	
buninas rayao amariyu/falaghal-maroobw	Snapper	P. zonatus	
tarakiton tadong/meseyugh	Amberjack	Seriola dumerili	

2.1.2 Guam Fishery Performance and Economic Data

2.1.2.1 Guam Landings

Nearly 90,000 lb of bottomfish were caught in Guam during 2009, which is below the 27-year average (Table 3). Overall landings of bottomfish increased, with only shore-based bottomfish harvest decreasing in 2009. The boat-based sector was responsible for the majority (about 90%) of the landings in 2009 and of the boat-based sector the majority of the catch came from non-charter vessels (Table 3, Figures 1&2).

The harvest of the four bottomfish families had increased by 119% for jacks, 45% for groupers, 52% for emperors and a 11% decrease for snappers. A 38% increase was observed when combining all four families. Boat-based methods harvested 66% of jacks, 99% of snappers, 98% of groupers, and 94% of emperors compared with shore-based methods.

BMUS	Harvest* (Pounds)
lehi/maroobw (A. rutilans)	743
gogunafon/aiwe (A. virescens)	1,123
buninas agaga'/falaghal moroobw (E. carbunculus)	7,012
abuninas/taighulupegh (E. coruscans)	11,302
buninas/falaghal-maroobw (P. auricilla)	2,630
buninas or pakapaka/falaghal-maroobw (P. filamentosus)	1,094
buninas/falaghal-maroobw (P. flavipinnis)	970
buninas rayao amariyu/falaghal-maroobw (P. zonatus)	2,206
funai/saas (<i>L. kasmira</i>)	304
tarakitu/etam (C. ignobilis)	193
tarakiton attelong/orong (C. lugubris)	631
tarakiton tadong/meseyugh (S. dumerili)	236
gadao/meteyil (E. fasciatus)	1,576
bueli/bwele (V. louti)	1,771
mafuti/atigh (L. rubrioperculatus)	6,834
BMUS Total	38,623

Table 2: Expanded Boat-based Creel Survey Bottomfish Catch Data, 2009

Non-BMUS Bottomfish	Harvest* (Pounds)
Other Snappers	3,926
Other Jacks	5,196

Non-BMUS Bottomfish Total	31,724
Other Emperors	12.149
Other Groupers	10,453

Non-Specific Bottomfish	Harvest* (Pounds)
Misc Bottomfish	237
Shallow Bottomfish	6,612
Deep Bottomfish	4,711
Non-Specific Bottomfish Total	11,560

BOTTOMFISH TOTAL 81,908

*The commercial harvest landing for a species replaces the creel harvest landing if the commercial landing is higher. Therefore, the BOTTOMFISH TOTAL value may differ from BOTTOMFISH TOTAL values reported later in this module. The landings are bottomfish landed across all methods, both boat-based and shore-based.

Total Year **Shore-Based Boat-Based Non-Charter** Charter 1982 37.639 37.639 37.639 1983 47,119 47,119 47,119 1984 58,095 58,095 58.095 1985 106.043 17.930 88.113 87,935 177 1986 49,632 12,858 36,774 35,362 1,412 1987 57.677 11.753 45.924 45.509 415 1988 77,621 15,348 62,273 61,506 768 1989 89,737 6,981 82,756 82,015 741 1990 78,349 77,942 407 86,602 8,253 1991 80,711 11.092 69,619 68,600 1.019 93,392 1,459 1992 10,710 82,682 81,224 103.039 95.815 1993 7,224 95.057 757 1994 110,801 7,755 103,046 102,480 566 1995 114,142 10,798 103,344 98,179 5,165 1996 146,035 7,414 138,621 133,508 5.113 1997 109.556 9.451 100.105 95,330 4.775 1998 114,964 14,228 100,736 95,131 5,605 1999 135,747 18,680 117,067 113,011 4,057 2000 147,500 9,102 138,398 136,068 2,330 117,177 2001 131.096 13,919 116,374 803 2002 78,132 9,843 68,289 64,780 3,509 2003 100,158 7.278 92,880 91,246 1.634 2004 81,490 8,646 72,844 72,101 743 2005 71,492 5,915 65,577 63,848 1,728 2006 69,039 63,711 63,254 458 5,328 2007 56,706 7,829 48,877 48,520 357 2008 72,176 6,489 65,231 455 65,687

Table 3: Bottomfish Landings (lb) 1982-2009, by Sector

Year	Total	Shore-Based	Boat-Based	Non-Charter	Charter
2009	88,570	4,859	83,711	81,843	1,868
Average	89,818	9,987	80,901	79,247	1,853
SD	29,651	3,751	27,652	26,658	1,754

Figure 1: Guam Bottomfish Catch, Boat and Shore, 1982-2009





Figure 2: Guam Charter & Non-charter Vessel Bottomfish Landings, 1982-2009

Figure 3: Guam Snapper Landings, Shore and Boat-based, 1982-2009



Note: Snappers includes only Lutjanus, Pristipomoides, Aphareus, Etelis spp.

James Borja of Guam with his 26 lb Onaga Catch



2.1.2.2 Guam Bottomfish CPUE

Boat-based catch per unit effort (CPUE in pounds/hour) for BMUS generally increased in 2009 (Figure 4). The decreasing CPUE values were from the charter trips for shallow BMUS bottomfishing. Charter harvest, which made up a small proportion of the fishery, showed high variations in landings and CPUE throughout the times series. Since most charter trips that engage in bottomfishing are shallow-water trips that release their fish, and return primarily juveniles and various species of triggerfish, resulting in low catches and high effort and create high fluctuations in BMUS CPUE in the time series.

Looking at CPUE trends per family, there was a decrease of 25% for jacks, 15% for snappers while a 250% increase for groupers, and 50% increase for emperors.



Figure 4: Guam Bottomfish Catch per Unit Effort (lb/hour), 1990-2009

Voor	All E	Bottomfis	shing	Deep Bottomfishing		Shallow Bottomfishing		nfishing	
Tear	All	NC	С	All	NC	С	All	NC	С
1982	7.00	7.00		7.50	7.50		6.50	6.50	
1983	5.80	5.80	11.80	6.20	6.20		4.60	4.60	11.80
1984	7.70	7.70		9.20	9.20		7.40	7.40	
1985	5.70	5.70	3.80	7.60	7.60		5.10	5.10	3.80
1986	5.40	5.40	8.90	6.50	6.60	4.30	4.90	4.90	10.30
1987	6.10	6.00	8.20	7.90	7.90		5.50	5.50	8.20
1988	5.40	5.40	5.80	8.90	8.90		4.20	4.20	5.80
1989	5.20	5.30	3.90	5.80	5.80	6.60	5.10	5.30	3.80
1990	5.00	5.10	3.20	6.30	6.30		3.90	4.00	3.10
1991	5.10	5.20	3.40	5.90	5.90	2.50	4.60	4.80	3.40
1992	4.70	4.70	4.40	5.00	5.00		4.40	4.40	4.40
1993	4.70	4.90	3.10	6.80	6.80		3.80	3.90	3.10
1994	6.10	6.20	4.50	11.00	11.00		3.50	3.50	4.00
1995	3.20	3.20	3.50	6.70	6.80	3.30	2.70	2.60	3.50
1996	4.40	4.60	3.20	5.70	5.80	4.10	3.70	3.80	3.10
1997	3.50	3.70	2.60	4.60	4.60	4.30	3.10	3.30	2.50
1998	3.20	3.30	2.80	5.10	5.10	4.70	2.80	2.80	2.70
1999	3.90	3.90	4.00	9.00	8.70	11.30	2.70	2.60	3.00
2000	3.90	4.20	1.60	7.60	7.70	2.40	2.50	2.70	1.60
2001	4.00	4.20	1.30	6.80	6.80		3.40	3.60	1.30
2002	3.40	3.50	2.20	5.40	5.50	4.60	2.70	2.80	1.40
2003	4.70	5.00	1.30	6.50	6.50		4.60	5.00	1.30
2004	5.40	5.70	0.80	7.20	7.50	1.50	4.90	5.10	0.80
2005	5.50	5.60	3.30	9.40	9.50	5.00	3.10	3.20	2.20
2006	6.10	6.20	2.00	7.80	7.90	5.00	4.90	5.10	1.70
2007	6.40	6.70	1.80	13.80	13.80		5.20	5.50	1.50
2008	4.50	4.70	2.30	5.70	5.60	8.40	3.70	3.90	1.60
2009	6.00	6.30	2.00	8.60	8.70	3.60	4.30	4.60	1.40
Average	4.70	4.80	3.05	7.30	7.33	4.77	4.21	4.31	3.51
SD	1.21	1.18	1.98	1.99	1.98	2.47	1.18	1.19	2.74

Table 4: Guam Bottomfish CPUE, Shallow, Deep and All, 1982-2009

NOTE: NC = non-charter; C = charter

2.1.2.3 Guam Effort

Effort (charter and non-charter vessels, combined number of trips) peaked in 1999 with nearly 10,000 trips then declined thereafter to approximately 4,000 trips (Figure 5). Fishing effort dictates the trend for bottomfish catches with both having peaks in 1995 and 1999 and an upswing in 2008 and 2009 (Figures 1 & 2).

Figure 5: Number of Vessels in Guam's Bottomfish Fishery, 1982-2009



Figure 6: Guam Bottomfishing Trips (Charter & Non-charter combined), 1982-2009



2.1.2.4 Guam Bottomfish Revenue

The adjusted commercial revenue increased 41% to \$57,894 (Table 6), the average price of bottomfish increased slightly to \$3.58 per pound (Table 5), and the

adjusted revenue per trip for bottomfish increased 27% (\$144). Revenue for all species increased 10%, \$264 per trip. The commercial harvest (16,150 pounds) is 18% of the total bottomfish harvest (88,570 pounds).

Species Name	Ave Price (\$/lb)
tarakiton tadong/meseyugh	2.63
tarakiton attelong/orong	2.55
Jacks	3.09
mafuti/atigh	2.98
Snapper	2.96
Tagafi (red snapper)	2.00
gogunafon/aiwe (gray snapper)	2.73
Bottomfish	3.16
buninas agaga'/falaghal moroobw (squirrelfish snapper)	3.82
buninas rayao amariyu/falaghal-maroobw (flower snapper)	4.00
Grouper	2.89
Kalikali (pink snapper)	3.21
lehi/maroobw (silverjaw)	3.77
abuninas/taighulupegh (longtail snapper)	4.98
buninas or pakapaka/falaghal-maroobw	3.98
Deep Bottom	1.50
All Bottomfish Species	3.58

Table 5: Guam Commercial Bottomfish Prices for 2009

Veen	Harvest (Pounds)		Revenue (\$)	
rear	Total	Commercial	Unadjusted	Adjusted
1980		9,434	11,528	64,455
1981		10,596	18,825	87,387
1982	37,639	6,947	13,412	59,213
1983	47,119	36,984	67,013	285,878
1984	58,095	23,291	44,213	173,493
1985	106,043	28,028	52,311	197,631
1986	49,632	12,110	21,849	80,360
1987	57,677	12,639	23,551	82,948
1988	77,621	15,933	29,818	99,831
1989	89,737	19,630	47,365	142,949
1990	86,602	18,916	50,479	133,415
1991	80,711	11,278	31,703	75,993
1992	93,392	10,668	30,355	66,082
1993	103,039	10,191	29,526	59,318
1994	110,801	30,356	105,126	180,606
1995	114,142	13,815	44,865	73,219
1996	146,035	7,389	19,531	30,351
1997	109,556	10,621	31,485	48,015
1998	114,964	14,737	47,770	73,279
1999	135,747	30,757	110,066	165,870
2000	147,500	21,924	77,474	114,429
2001	131,096	26,289	84,999	127,328
2002	78,132	18,297	56,090	83,518
2003	100,158	11,731	36,528	52,929
2004	81,490	25,054	73,466	100,354
2005	71,492	23,118	73,511	93,285
2006	69,039	17,208	58,090	66,048
2007	56,706	16,861	49,478	52,694
2008	72,176	11,526	40,180	41,024
2009	88,570	16,150	\$57,894	\$57,894
Average	89,818	17,416	47,950	98,993
St. Dev.	29,651	7,696	25,267	56,174

Table 6: Guam Bottomfish Landings and Revenue, 1980-2009

2.1.2.5 Guam Fishery Bycatch and Protected Species

In Guam, bycatch had been generally decreasing since data collection began in 2001 (Table 7). Bycatch information was obtained through intercepts surveys and with the number of pieces of bycatch species being compared with the number of all fish species caught by the boat-based bottomfishing method. Bycatch from Guam's bottomfish fishery is composed primarily of juvenile mullets, triggerfish, and groupers.

For the past five years Guam's fishery had less than five percent bycatch released and of that nearly all are released alive (Table 7).

Year	Released alive	Released dead/ injured	Total Number Released	Total Number Landed	Percent Bycatch *	Interviews with Bycatch	Total Number of Interviews	Percent of Inter- views with Bycatch
2001	620	3	623	3,896	16.0	58	183	31.7
2002	356	0	356	2,504	14.2	33	137	24.1
2003	191	0	191	1,888	10.1	14	101	13.9
2004	122	0	122	1,795	6.8	11	100	11
2005	66	0	66	1,669	3.95	6	103	5.82
2006	142	3	145	5,666	2.55	6	91	6.59
2007	139	0	139	5,361	2.59	5	12	41.66
2008	121	0	121	5,618	2.15	11	91	12.08
2009	75	2	77	2,702	2.84	8	134	5.97

Table 7: Guam Bottomfish Bycatch Summary, 2001-2009

2.1.2.6 Non-commercial Fishery

In Guam, the non-commercial fishery is substantially greater than the commercial fishery. During 2009, the commercial harvest (16,150 pounds) was just 18% of the total bottomfish harvest (88,570 pounds) and therefore, 72,420 lb is considered non-commercial catch.

2.2 CNMI Bottomfish Fishery

2.2.1. Introduction to CNMI's Bottomfish Fishery

CNMI's bottomfishery still consists primarily of small-scale local boats engaged in commercial and subsistence fishing, although a few (generally <5) larger vessels (30– 60 ft) also participate in the fishery. The bottomfishery can be broken down into two sectors: deep-water (>500 ft) and shallow-water (100–500 ft) fisheries. The deep-water fishery is primarily commercial, targeting snappers and groupers. The snappers targeted include members of *Etelis* and *Pristipomoides*, whereas the eight-band grouper (*Epinephelus octofasciatus*) is the only targeted grouper. The shallow-water fishery, which targets the redgill emperor (*Lethrinus rubrioperculatus*), is mostly commercial but also includes subsistence fishermen. These fishermen are taking not only bottomfishes, but reef fishes (especially snappers and groupers) as well. Hand lines, home-fabricated hand reels and small electric reels are the commonly used gear for small-scale fishing operations, whereas electric reels and hydraulics are the commonly used gear for the larger operations in this fishery.

Historically, some trips have lasted for more than a day, but currently, effort is defined and calculated on a daily trip basis. Fishing trips are often restricted to daylight hours, with vessels presumed to return before or soon after sunset, unless vessels fished at the northern islands. CNMI's bottomfish fishery occurs primarily around the populated islands and adjacent banks from Rota to Zealandia Bank north of Sarigan. However, the data are limited to the catches landed on Saipan, which is by far the largest market. Landings (in pounds) and revenues are inflated by 30% to represent the CNMI as a whole (assuming a 60% coverage of the commercial sales on Saipan and that Saipan is 90% of the market).

CNMI's bottomfish fishery continues to show a high turnover with changes in the number of high liners participating in the fishery. Fishermen sometimes conduct multipurpose trips that focus primarily on shallow-water bottomfishes and catch pelagic species while in transit. In doing so, the shallow-water bottomfish complex continues to be exploited, but as part of the exploitation of the coral reef stocks near the populated islands. Redgill emperor (mafute') is the most frequently harvested and easily identified species in this complex, although a variety of snappers and groupers are also harvested. There was a 10% decrease in overall bottomfish landings from 2008 to 2009.

Nearly all of the 8 larger vessels previously fishing the northern islands did not fish in 2009. There was no port-side sampling conducted on these commercial trips made by these larger vessels. These vessels use to catch the majority of the deep-water bottomfishes. Fishermen utilizing larger vessels have greater access to the deep-water bottomfish resources, especially in the northern islands of the CNMI. However, this sector of the industry requires more investment, consistent long-term effort, and knowledge to recoup the costs than the shallow-water bottomfish sector.

The bottomfish MUS for CNMI incorporated by the FEP are in Table 1.

2.2.2 CNMI Fishery Performance and Economic Data

2.2.2.1 CNMI Landings

During 2009 approximately nearly 38,000 lbs of bottomfish were landed in CNMI by 40 fishers with a total value just above \$107,000; amounts below the 26-year everages (Table 8). Majority was landed in the first half of the year (January-May) as shown in Figure 7. Over time, catches have fluctuated widely with an average of around 40,000 lbs which is slightly higher than the 2009 total catch (Figure 8).

Of the shallow-water BMUS, landings were dominated by emperors (mafute) (Figure 9) and the deep-water BMUS landings were dominated by falaghal-maroobw and gindai (Figure 10).

			CPI	
	TOTAL	CPUE	ADJUSTED	CPI ADJUSTED
YEAR	LANDINGS	(lb/trip)	REVENUE	PRICE
1983	28,529	43	116,161	4.07
1984	42,664	70	157,221	3.69
1985	40,975	117	141,260	3.45
1986	29,911	104	112,133	3.75
1987	49,715	169	171,585	3.45
1988	47,313	181	156,230	3.3
1989	24,438	73	88,448	3.62
1990	12,927	81	50,763	3.93
1991	7,093	47	30,297	4.27
1992	10,598	59	37,265	3.52
1993	18,461	84	62,495	3.39
1994	25,469	74	91,722	3.6
1995	36,101	93	154,307	4.27
1996	66,387	119	274,489	4.13
1997	64,143	137	261,771	4.08
1998	59,022	148	246,133	4.17
1999	55,991	156	245,154	4.38
2000	45,258	56	154,003	3.4
2001	71,256	68	262,154	3.68
2002	46,765	101	162,175	3.47
2003	41,903	89	144,140	3.44
2004	54,474	104	170,834	3.14
2005	70,405	76	228,821	3.25
2006	28,293	48	91,686	3.24
2007	39,476	60	114,983	2.91
2008	42,073	59	119,888	2.85
2009	37,916	60	\$107,366	\$2.83
AVERAGE	40,650	92	\$146,425	\$3.60
SD	17,450	38	68,766	0.44

 Table 8: CNMI Bottomfish Fishery Statistics, 1983-2009





Figure 8: CNMI Bottomfish Landings (1,000 lb), 1981-2009





Figure 9: CNMI Commercial Landings of All Shallow-water Bottomfish, Emperors and Shallow-water Snappers, 1983-2009

Figure 10: CNMI Commercial Bottomfish Landings of All Deep-water Bottomfish, Onaga, Opakapaka and Deep-water Grouper, 1983-2009



2.2.2.2 CNMI Bottomfish CPUE

For the past five years the CPUE had been significantly below the long-term average (Table 8, Figure 11), however, this may be primarily due to highliners entering and exiting the fishery. Bottomfishing requires more technical skill than pelagic trolling, including knowledge of the location of specific bathymetric features. In addition, fishermen targeting the deep-water bottomfish, if successful, tend to fish for 1–4 years before leaving the fishery, whereas majority of fishermen who are targeting shallow-water bottomfish tend to leave the fishery after the first year. The overall participation of fishermen in the bottomfishery tends to be very short term (less than 4 years). The fishery's learning curve, degree of difficulty, and the relatively short duration and high turnover of participation all affect the CPUE.





2.2.2.3 CNMI Effort

In terms of participation, the bottomfish fleet consists primarily of vessels less than 30 ft long usually fishing at a 50-mi radius from Saipan. The larger commercial vessels that are able to fish on extended trips and focus their efforts from Esmeralda Bank to Zealandia Bank landed majority of the deep-water bottomfish reported through the purchase receipt books. In 2009, 40 vessels reported bottomfish landings (Figure 12). The number of trips shows a similar pattern except the peak in 2001 where the most trips in the fishery's history occurred (Figure 13).

Figure 12: CNMI Annual Bottomfish Fishery Participation, 1983-2009



Figure 13: CNMI Annual Bottomfish Trips, 1983-2009



2.2.2.4 CNMI Bottomfish Revenue

Revenues and prices for bottomfishes were relatively the same or slightly lower in 2009 than in 2008, but overall variability showed coherence with fluctuations in landings (Table 8, Figure 14). In 2009, the emperors/mafute was the main contributor to overall landings and revenue, followed by onaga, misc bottomfish, and opakapaka (Table 9).

Most fishes are sold as whole fish and very few as filets or steaks. The larger species are often purchased by hotels and restaurants, which are now seeing far fewer customers and often importing fishes from outside the CNMI. In addition, the local public appears to demand more for reef fishes. This report only represents the commercial aspect of the fishery as reported on sales invoices in CNMI. Charter vessels that do not sell their catch and recreational/subsistence catches are not included.

Species	Landings	Revenue	Average
	(lb)	(\$)	Price/lb
Emperor (mafute)	11,386	28,577	2.51
abuninas/taighulupegh	3,517	15,008	4.27
Misc. bottomfish	4,487	11,296	2.52
buninas /falaghal-maroobw	3,898	10,569	2.71
buninas rayao amariyu/falaghal-maroobw	2,572	9,263	3.60
Gindai	2,393	8,698	3.63
Kalikali	2,393	5,894	2.46
Misc. Jacks	2,092	5,132	2.45
gogunafon/aiwe	1,701	3,550	2.09
lehi/maroobw	1,042	2,945	2.83
funai/saas	1,126	2,790	2.48
Misc. Grouper	768	2240	2.92
tarakiton tadong/meseyugh	326	868	2.66
tarakiton attelong/orong	112	280	2.51
tarakitu/etam	55	136	2.50
Bigeye Emperor	48	120	2.50
TOTAL	37,916	\$107,366	\$2.83

Table 9: CNMI Bottomfish Landings, Revenue, and Price 2009



Figure 14: CNMI Bottomfish Revenue (\$) and Landings (lb), 1983-2009

2.2.2.5 CNMI Fishery Bycatch and Protected Species

Between 2000 to 2009, bycatch comprised less than 1% of the fishes taken and most fishers interviewed report no bycatch (Table 10). Of those who did report bycatch most was reported as released alive.

There is no observer program for Mariana Archipelago bottomfish fishing operations which are not known or expected to have much interaction with protected species. There were no reports of protected species interactions during 2009 bottomfish fishing in CNMI.

Species	Interviews	All	# Released	Total	Bycatch
	w/ Bycatch	Interviews	Alive	catch	Percentage
Non-Charter Boats	3	453			0.66%
Dogtooth Tuna			1	38	2.63%
Blackjack			1	52	1.92%
Blueline Snapper			4	484	0.83%
Pufferfish			0	5	0%
Freshwater Eels			0	1	0%
All Bycatch			6	580	1.03%
Compared w/ All caught	12	161		12,676	0.05%
Charter Doats	12	101			
Red Snapper			5	9	55.56%
Misc. Triggerfish			55	396	13.89%
Lyretail Grouper			5	43	11.63%
Jobfish (uku)			1	12	8.33%
Emperor (mafute)			7	204	3.43%
Blueline Snapper			3	139	2.16%
Redgill Emperor			6	309	1.94%
Black-tipped grouper			4	214	1.87%
Flagtail Grouper			4	219	1.83%
All Bycatch			90	1,545	5.83%
Compared w/ All Caught				2,360	3.81%

Table 10: CNMI Bottomfishing Bycatch, Non-Charter and Charter Boats, 2009

2.2.2.6 Non-commercial Fishery

In CNMI, the non-commercial fishery is primarily comprised of subsistence fishing for the shallow-water complex. Subsistence catch is not reported and as such at this time there are no accurate reports of landings or effort in this sector of the fishery.

2.3 Archipelagic Ecosystem Components

Ecosystem components that may become worth noting are expected to emanate from increased biosampling efforts (now ongoing) and through reports made in advisory panel (AP) and regional ecosystem advisory committee (REAC) meetings; or through other reported observations.

In addition, due to concerns about invasive species presence in drainages, the CNMI DFW entered into a contract with NOAA to conduct an assessment.

In Guam, increased incidents of beach pollution had led to more pollution advisories. In particular, Pago Bay marine activities and fishing was shut down due to runoff impacts after a large storm. The Guam REAC recommended that a study be conducted to assess impacts of Guam's sewage outfalls on coral reef ecosystems.

Regarding indigenous fishing rights, the Guam REAC recommended development of a community consultation process to discuss native fishing rights.

2.4 Archipelago Research

In Guam, a program to collect bottomfish data to provide ecosystem-based life history information is under development. A Pacific Islands Bio-Sampling Workshop was held at the Fishermen's Cooperative in Tamuning for prospective biological samplers from Guam during August 2009. Providing instruction was PIFSC staff, Fisheries Associates employed by the University of Hawaii Joint Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research, staff of the Guam Fishermen's Co-op and the Council.

Participants in the Pacific Islands Bio-sampling Workshop learned appropriate techniques for collecting fish life history data. The aim of the workshop was to develop a fish sampling program like the one PIFSC operates in Hawaii. Participants were trained in protocols for sampling, record keeping, and other facets of biological data collection. Instructions were also given in methods of removing and storing gonads, otoliths, opercles, dorsal spines, muscle tissue and liver tissue; collection of fish length, weight and catch location data; and proper labeling techniques.

2.5 Stock Assessments

A stock assessment on bottomfish in Guam, CNMI and American Samoa was completed in 2007 for data through 2005 (Moffitt et al. 2007). It concluded that overall, the production model results suggest that the CNMI bottomfish complex was not overfished and did not experience overfishing during 1986- 2005. An update on the assessment needs to be done for this stock. They also concluded that it would be helpful to augment the data reporting systems to collect length frequency samples of individual bottomfish species to provide additional information on the average size and age of fish in the catch and support more sophisticated assessment methods. This information is currently being collected through the islands biosampling program. For Guam they concluded that overall, the production model results suggest that the Guam bottomfish complex has not been overfished since 1982 and has not experienced overfishing, except perhaps in 2000.



Participants of the Pacific Islands Bio-Sampling Workshop held in Guam

3.0 Mariana Archipelago Coral Reef Fisheries

3.0.1. Introduction to Archipelagic Coral Reef Fisheries

The following fishing gears are used to target coral reef fish in the Mariana Archipelago. This list does not include gears that are used primarily to catch invertebrates (i.e. hand gleaning/octopus hooking).

- Spear snorkel
- Spear SCUBA
- Hook and line
- Cast net
- Gill net (banned in 2003)
- Surround (atulai)
- Drag
- Fish weir
- Traps

The following is a list of species that comprise the major taxa harvested in coral reef fisheries of Guam and the CNMI. However, there may be some differences between Guam and the CNMI and species may have different degrees of importance among islands.

- 1. Moray eels (all species in the family Muraenidae)
- 2. Solderfish/Squirrelfish (all species in the family Holocentridae)
- 3. Groupers (all species in the family Serranidae except deep water BMUS)
- 4. Jacks (all species in the family Carangidae except atulai)
- 5. Snappers (all species in the family Lutjanidae, except deepwater BMUS
- 6. Sweetlips (all species in the family Haemulidae)
- 7. Emperors (all species in the family Lethrinidae)
- 8. Goatfish (all species in the family Mullidae)
- 9. Rudderfish (all species in the family Kyphosidae)
- 10. Mullets (all species in the family Mugilidae)
- 11. Barracuda (all species in the family Sphyraenidae)
- 12. Wrasses (all species in the family Labridae except *Cheilinus undulatus*)
- 13. Parrotfish (all species in the family Scaridae except *Bulbometopon muricatum*)
- 14. Surgeonfish (all species in the family Acanthuridae)
- 15. Rabbitfish (all species in the family Siganidae)
- 16. Triggerfish (all specie in the family Balistidae)
- 17. Atulai
- 18. Species of Special Concern
 - a. Reef sharks (only reef sharks of the family Carcharhinidae)
 - b. Napolean wrasse (*Cheilinus undulatus*)
 - c. Bumphead parrotfish (Bulbometopon muricatum)

3.1 Guam's Coral Reef Fishery

3.1.1. Introduction

Guam's coral reef fishery utilized many different gear types, as listed in Section 3.0.1 and includes harvest of hundreds of coral reef MUS (listed in Appendix) mainly in the families listed above. Guam's coral reef fishery included catches by boat-based methods (Figure 12) dominated by bottomfishing and spear/snorkel. The shore-based methods were dominated by spear/snorkel (Figure 12).

3.1.2 Fishery Performance and Economic Data

3.1.2.1 Guam Landings

Guam's 2009 coral reef MUS landings amounted to an estimated (expanded) 136,547 lbs. Landings have varied greatly for the top six MUS categories over time (Figure 16). Parrotfish, surgeonfish, and jack catches by boat-based fishing methods have declined since 1999 (Figure 17). Table 11 shows landings from 2005-2009 with the greatest increase due to atulai landings (also shown in Figure 17). Catches from shore-based fishing methods declined steadily since the 1980's (Figure 18).

In 2009, about two times the amount of landings came from boat-based fishing (86,592 lbs) as opposed to shore-based methods (42,156 lbs). The top MUS was atulai with just over 38,000 lbs, the largest catch since the 1999 high (~136,000 lbs). Next was surgeonfish, emperors, jacks, parrotfish (Figure 15).

Figure 15: Guam Boat and Shore-based Expanded Catch Composition, 2009





Figure 16: Guam Shore and Boat-based Expanded Catches, 1982-2009





Species/Family	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Atulai	1,824	7,179	5,317	17,771	38,036
Emperors	14,449	11,756	19,640	12,245	21,610
Goatfish	9,262	11,514	2,592	7,497	4,000
Groupers	7,292	8,993	7,960	9,737	7,940
Jacks	26,242	29,295	23,591	22,500	15,115
Mullet	1,835	1,676	1,529	4,890	2,454
Parrotfish	11,084	5,556	8,992	10,480	8,799
Rabbitfish	3,608	8,879	10,473	8,064	6,548
Rudderfish	5,178	12,731	2,335	4,339	8,793
Snappers	8,974	10,653	5,088	10,236	4,635
Surgeonfish	27,848	29,124	27,900	41,045	33,573
Squirrelfish	2,385	1,918	1,138	3,200	3,640
Wrasse	1,360	1,994	326	411	923
Misc. reef fish	15	9	23	4,922	11,316
Misc. shallow					
bottomfish	975		1,940	2,246	6,612
Misc. bottomfish					237
Other CRE-Finfish	13,364	18,495	16,443	10,725	19,414
Napoleon wrasse	2,079	46	1,650	168	
Reef sharks	540	1,445	1,619	1,121	687
Crustaceans	2,217	1,527	1,982	1,132	865
Mollusks	10,934	8,055	8,943	6,103	14,610
Other invertebrates	1,023	2,809	764	80	123
Algae	36	123	120	67	119

Table 11: Guam CRE MUS Expanded Catch (lb), 2005-2009

Figure 18: Guam Shore-based Coral Reef Expanded Catch, 1985-2009



3.1.3.2 Guam CPUE

Catch rates (CPUE) in Guam's coral reef fishery show a lot of variability and no obvious discernible patterns. For the boat-based fishery, fishing utilizing bottomfishing method have the highest catch rates with emperors having the highest CPUE (Figure 19). In the boat-based trolling fishery, catch rates for jacks have declined significantly in the last 5 years while catch rates for other unidentified reef fishes has increased since 2007 (Figure 20).

Figure 19: Guam Boat-based CPUE for Coral Reef Fishing, Bottomfishing Method, 1982-2009



Figure 20: Guam Boat-based CPUE for Coral Reef Fishing, Trolling Method, 1982-2009



3.1.3.3 Guam Effort

There are currently no accurate data on effort in Guam's coral reef fishery.

3.1.3.4 Guam Revenue

During 2009, landings from Guam's coral reef fishery resulted in revenue estimated at \$770,750 (Table 12). Revenue was dominated by unknown or unidentified reef fishes, parrotfishes, unicornfishes, and others, respectively (Figure 21, Table 12).



Figure 21: Relative Value of Guam's Coral Reef MUS, 2009

Species/Family	VALUE (\$)	PRICE/LB (\$)
ATULAI	12,117	2.68
UNKNOWN REEF FISH	160,199	3.01
PARROTFISHES	160,061	3.25
UNICORNFISHES	63,354	2.95
SURGEONFISHES	15,811	2.69
NAPOLEON WRASSE	9,663	2.82
OCTOPUS	8,098	3.02
MAFUTE (EMPEROR)	4,697	2.98
SQUIRRELFISHES	1,597	2.98
RUDDERFISH (GUILLI)	430	3.00
RABBITFISH	406	3.48
SWEETLIPS	302	2.54
TOTAL	\$770,752	NA

Table 12: Guam 2009 Coral Reef Fishery Estimated Revenue and Price/lb

3.1.3.5 Guam Bycatch and Protected Species

No interactions between protected species and coral reef fisheries have been reported in federal waters around Guam and CNMI and the potential for interactions is believed to be low due to the gear types and fishing methods used.

NMFS received a petition, in October 2009 to list 82 species of corals under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), and another petition, in January 2010, to list the bumphead parrotfish (*Bolbometopon muricatum*) as threatened or endangered and designate critical habitat under the ESA. NMFS determined that the petitions presented substantial scientific and commercial information indicating that the petition may warrant actions, and NMFS issued 90-day findings in the Federal Register (April 2, 2010; 75 FR 16713 for the bumphead parrotfish, and February 6, 2010; 75 FR 6616 for the corals). After which, NMFS initiated a status reviews of the 82 coral species (one species was dropped due to lack of information) and the bumphead parrotfish to determine if listings under the ESA are warranted.

3.1.3.6 Guam Non-commercial Fishery

In Guam, the non-commercial fishery catch is not reported and as such at this time there are no accurate reports of landings or effort in this sector of the fishery.

3.2 CNMI's Coral Reef Fishery

3.2.1 Introduction

Small-scale nearshore fisheries in the CNMI are of fundamental importance for subsistence, social and cultural purposes, in addition to providing food, trade, and recreational resources. In CNMI, most coral reef fishing occurs in near-shore areas. Finfish and invertebrates are the primary targets and small quantities of seaweed are also harvested. Cast-netting, spear-fishing, hook and line, gleaning, trolling, and bottom fishing are just some of the common fishing techniques practiced in CNMI. The coral reef fishery is an important resource for families in the CNMI. Not only is it a source of food but also an alternate source of income and majority of fishermen sell part of their catch and keep the rest for consumption.

Some of the common families targeted by CNMI's reef fish fishery are: Acanthuridae (surgeonfish), Scaridae (parrotfish), Mullidae (goatfish), Serranidae (grouper), Labridae (wrasse), Holocentridae (soldier/squirrelfish), Carangidae (jacks), Balistidae (triggerfish), Scombridae (scad), Haemulidae (sweetlips), Gerridae (mojarra), Kuhliidae (flagtail), Kyphosidae (rudderfish) and Mugilidae (mullet), as well as other and non-finfish.

Currently, there are five Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) in the waters around Saipan, three of which are no take marine conservation areas and two species based reserves. Additional management measures such as gillnet and scuba spear fishing bans have been implemented in recent years.

3.2.2 Fishery Performance and Economic Data

3.2.2.1 CNMI Landings

During 2009, majority of coral reef MUS catch was caught by boat-based fishing using bottomfishing method/gear (Figure 22). The dominant fishing method/gear for shore-based fishing during 2009 was hook-and-line (Figure 24).

The dominant catch for shore and boat-based fishing combined was emperors followed by jacks, rabbitfish, surgeonfish, atulai etc., respectively (Figures 23, Table 13). The dominant catch for boat-based fishing was emperors, jacks, and atulai (Figure 25). For shore-based fishing the catch was dominated by rabbitfish, jacks, and emperor (Figure 26).

Snorkel spear accounted for around 10,000 lbs in both shore and boat-based methods (Figure 22).





Figure 23: CNMI Shore and Boat-based Expanded Catch Composition, 2009





Figure 24: CNMI Shore-based Coral Reef MUS Expanded Catch by Method, 2005-2009

Figure 25: CNMI Coral Reef Boat-based Top 3 MUS Catch, 2000-2009





Figure 26: CNMI Coral Reef Shore-based Catch Top 3, 2005-2009

CREMUS Group	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Atulai	236	5,327	2,789	6,959	5,509
Emperors	27,651	21,831	30,507	21,433	28,036
Goatfish	5,827	9,767	3,419	3,472	3,851
Groupers	5,405	4,541	4,710	2,235	2,901
Jacks	22,177	16,586	9,401	23,438	21,000
Mullet	2,424	3,602	4,019	1,463	3,578
Parrotfish	3,601	4,234	2,902	8,502	4,538
Rabbitfish	4,251	2,703	2,259	1,207	21,339
Rudderfish	903	574	989	509	3,665
Snappers	3,895	1,342	5,361	2,860	3,611
Surgeonfish	7,591	9,410	4,207	6,028	7,241
Squirrelfish	588	1,206	512	541	456
Wrasse	3,112	1,253	838	947	812
Misc. Reef fish	334	29	36	45	
Other CRE-Finfish	5,060	6,084	5,946	5,622	4,060
Napoleon Wrasse				54	
Mollusks	6,113	2,406	7,881	41	761

 Table 13: CNMI Coral Reef Shore and Boat-based Expanded Catch, 2005-2009

3.2.2.2 CNMI CPUE

Catch rates (i.e. CPUE (lb/hr)) in CNMI's coral reef fishery shows a lot of variability for certain MUS such as emperors and snappers caught by bottomfishing from boats (Figure 27) and most MUS caught by boat-based spear/snorkel (Figure 28).









3.2.2.3 CNMI Effort

There are currently no available data on effort in CNMI's coral reef fishery.

3.2.2.4 CNMI Revenue

The price-per-pound for coral reef MUS had declined over the past 26 years when adjusted for average inflation (Figure 29) despite increasing operating costs (e.g. fuel, ice). Unadjusted prices increased over this same period, but, were not enough to keep up with inflation. Total 2009 commercial revenue from coral reef MUS was estimated at around \$274,000 and was dominated by unidentified reef fishes, parrotfishes, and atulai (Figure 30, Table 14).

Figure 29: CNMI Coral Reef Top 3 MUS Average Inflation-adjusted Price (\$/lb), 1983-2009



Figure 30: Estimated Top MUS in Value in CNMI's 2009 Commercial Reef Fish Catch



Value

Table 14: 2009 Estimated Coral Reef MUS Revenue and Price/lb

Species/Family	VALUE (\$)	PRICE/LB (\$)
ATULE	62,584	2.50
UNKNOWN REEF FISH	88,800	2.55
WRASSES	873	2.39
RABBITFISH	3,806	2.95
EMPERORS	28,577	2.51
SQUIRRELFISH	536	2.50
PARROTFISHES	74,276	3.11
SURGEONFISHES	4,589	2.17
ORANGESPINE	782	2.50
UNICORNFISH		
OTHER UNICORNFISH	3,333	2.57
GOATFISHES	2,077	2.50
BIGEYE EMPEROR	120	2.50
OCTOPUS	903	2.06
SQUID	16	2.00
TOTAL	\$274,062	NA

3.2.2.5 CNMI Bycatch and Protected Species

No interactions between protected species and coral reef fisheries have been reported in federal waters around Guam and CNMI and the potential for interactions is believed to be low due to the gear types and fishing methods used.

NMFS received a petition, in October 2009 to list 82 species of corals under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), and another petition, in January 2010, to list the bumphead parrotfish (*Bolbometopon muricatum*) as threatened or endangered and designate critical habitat under the ESA. NMFS determined that the petitions presented substantial scientific and commercial information indicating that the petition may warrant actions, and NMFS issued 90-day findings in the Federal Register (April 2, 2010; 75 FR 16713 for the bumphead parrotfish, and February 6, 2010; 75 FR 6616 for the corals). After which, NMFS initiated a status reviews of the 82 coral species (one species was dropped due to lack of information) and the bumphead parrotfish to determine if listings under the ESA are warranted.

3.2.2.6 CNMI Non-commercial Fishery

In CNMI, the non-commercial fishery is primarily comprised of subsistence fishing for the shallow-water complex. Subsistence catch is not reported and as such at this time there are no accurate reports of landings or effort in this sector of the fishery

3.3 Mariana Archipelago Ecosystem Components

Different sources have identified factors which may be impacting coral reefs in the Mariana Archipelago, particularly around parts of Guam and Saipan, including:

- Sewage outfalls and overflows into nearshore waters
- Contamination from onsite wastewater disposal systems
- Rural runoff from unpaved roads and uplands causing sedimentation
- Urban runoff containing chemicals and nutrients
- Climate induced bleaching of coral reefs
- Damage and disturbance to reefs from tourism including divers and snorkelers, and jet-skis

3.4 Mariana Archipelago Research and Monitoring

3.4.1 Current and Ongoing Research/Monitoring

The Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center's Coral Reef Ecosystem Division's (CRED) Reef Assessment and Monitoring Program (RAMP) conducts bathymetric mapping operations, biological surveys on coral reefs and other associated habitats on a biennial basis at 55 U.S. Pacific Islands, covering the majority of U.S. coral reef areas in the Pacific including the Mariana Archipelago (PIFSC 2010). CRED scientists use

consistent survey methods at all locations visited, and include both small-scale (belt or stationary point count) and large-scale (towed-diver) fish and benthic surveys. Since mid-2007, the survey design for small-scale surveys has been based on a stratified random sampling design within 0-30 m hard-bottom habitats. This information will be used as part of biomass estimates for the Council and the SSC to use in setting annual catch limits (ACLs) for coral reef fisheies.

In 2009, scientists from CRED and partner agencies completed the 66-day 2009 Mariana Archipelago Reef Assessment and Monitoring Program (MARAMP) research cruise, surveying the coral reef ecosystems of Wake Atoll, the Territory of Guam, and CNMI. This was the third biennial Pacific RAMP cruise to Wake and the fourth to the Marianas Archipelago. The waters of three of the CNMI islands surveyed are part of the recently designated as the Marianas Trench Marine National Monument.

Preliminary analyses of towed-diver survey data from the Mariana Archipelago reveal a lower density of fish shorter than 50 cm in 2009 compared to previous survey years. In addition, there were differences in relative abundance of fish between the uninhabited northern islands and the populated southern islands of the archipelago. The estimated density of sharks continued to exhibit a decline observed since surveys began in 2003.

Fine-scale biological assessments of the marine benthos suggest that levels of coral diversity, percent cover, and disease in 2009 are comparable to levels observed in previous assessments. Diversity of algae was lower in the northern islands, where turf algae replaced macroalgae as the dominant algal form. Surveys also revealed unusually high levels of cyanophytes like blue-green algae, particularly along the western-facing shores of Pagan Island. Although the factors causing these conditions are poorly understood, it is speculated that they may be related to iron-rich ash originating from recent volcanic activity. Alternatively, an increase in cyanophyte cover may simply be part of a previously undocumented natural cycle².

3.4.2 Research Needs

The following data gaps and needs identified for Guam at the WPR Fisheries Data Workshop:

- Data collection from boat-based spear and bottomfish
- Determination of reef species sales to the Guam Fishermen's Cooperative Association (sales currently lumped together as reef species so no individual data available)
- Determination of fishery catch and effort on or from military bases
- Data collection from scuba spearfishing
- Life history information for reef species
- Additional staff and resources
- Determination of federal versus territorial portion of the catch

² From PIFSC website at: http://www.pifsc.noaa.gov/qrb/2009_08/article_01.php

3.5 Stock Assessments

There are no existing assessments on CREMUS stocks. There are biomass estimates for reef fish populations provided by CRED described in this report which may be used, among other data, in determining CREMUS annual catch limits.

Overfished and Overfishing Determinations

No stock assessments were done on coral reef fish stocks. The status of the species or species groups whether it is subject to overfishing or are overfished is largely unknown.

MSY

No estimates of MSY are currently available for coral reef ecosystem associated species in the Mariana Archipelago.

OY

Optimum yield for coral reef ecosystem associated species is defined as 75% of their MSY.

4.0 Crustaceans Fishery

During 2009, there were 9 active Western Pacific Crustaceans permits for Permit Area 3 which includes waters of American Samoa, Guam and CNMI. The following table lists the Mariana Archipelago Crustaceans MUS established in the FEP.

Local Name	English Common Name	Scientific Name
mahonggang	spiny lobster	Panulirus penicillatus
pa'pangpang	slipper lobster	Family Scyllaridae
NA	Kona crab	Ranina ranina
NA	deepwater shrimp	Heterocarpus spp.

Table 15: Mariana Archipelago Crustacean MUS

4.1 Guam's Crustaceans Fishery

4.1.1. Introduction to Guam's Crustaceans Fishery

Crustaceans make up a major portion of Guam's non-finfish catch. The spiny lobster, primarily *P. pencillatus* and the slipper lobster, *Scyllarides squamosus*, are the two main components of the lobster catch and are harvested by spearfishing and "other" techniques (i.e., gleaning).

4.1.2 Fishery Performance and Economic Data

4.1.2.1 Guam Lobster Landings and Revenue

During 2009, a reported 1,242 pounds of lobster were landed generating revenue of \$4,585 with an average of around \$3.75 per pound. During 2009, December had the greatest landings perhaps for the holidays, followed by spring/summer months July and May (Figure 31). Revenue mirrors the landings data as the price-per-pound rarely fluctuates from \$3.75/pound. Monthly revenue was below \$1000 with December having the highest revenue (Figure 32).



Figure 31: Guam 2009 Monthly Lobster Landings





4.1.3 Bycatch and Protected Species

At this time, there is no reported bycatch associated with this fishery and there have been no observed or reported interactions with protected species. Most crustaceans are harvested by hand as certain gears/methods are prohibited (SCUBA, hookah, and Hawaiian sling spear) and therefore bycatch and interactions with protected species would not be expected to occur.

4.1.4 Guam Non-commercial Fishery

4.2 CNMI's Crustaceans Fishery

4.2.1. Introduction to CNMI's Crustaceans Fishery

In the CNMI, two types of crustacean fisheries have been pursued including a lobster fishery targeting spiny (*Panulirus pencillatus*) and slipper lobsters (Family Scyllaridae), and a deepwater shrimp (*Heterocarpus* spp.) fishery. Data collection is primarily from sales receipts obtained from vendors and fishermen. Lobster fishery data collection began in 1979 and in 1987 from the shrimp fishery. Most lobsters sold in the CNMI were caught by hand, however, formerly popular gears/methods used to harvest lobsters (SCUBA, hookah, and Hawaiian sling spear) are currently prohibited. Most lobster harvest is done by subsistence spear fishermen while targeting reef fish around Saipan using boats less than 20 ft in length (Tenorio 2003).

4.2.2 Fishery Performance and Economic Data

4.2.2.1 CNMI Lobster Landings

CNMI's annual lobster catch has varied greatly over the past three decades with a peak in 1984 and reduced landings in 2009 (Figure 34). Monthly catches vary widely as well (Figure 33). During 2009, the estimated annual commercial catch of lobsters was 1,046 lb comprised of 881 lb of spiny and 165 lb of slipper lobsters.



Figure 33: CNMI Monthly Spiny lobster Landings, 1981-2009





4.2.2.2 CNMI Lobster Revenue

During 2009, estimated revenue form lobster catches was \$4,385 for spiny lobsters with an average price of \$5.00/lb. and \$827 for slipper lobsters with an average \$2.06/lb.

4.2.3 Bycatch and Protected Species

At this time, there is no reported bycatch associated with the crustaceans fishery and there have been no observed or reported interactions with protected species. Most crustaceans are harvested by hand as certain gears/methods are prohibited (SCUBA, hookah, and Hawaiian sling spear) and therefore bycatch and interactions with protected species would not be expected to occur.

4.2.4 Non-commercial Fishery

In CNMI, the non-commercial fishery is primarily comprised of subsistence fishing for the shallow-water complex. Subsistence catch is not reported and as such at this time there are no accurate reports of landings or effort in this sector of the fishery.

4.3 Archipelagic Ecosystem Components

There is currently no Mariana Archipelago ecosystems component information related to crustaceans to report.

4.4 Archipelagic Research

There is currently no Mariana Archipelago crustaceans research to report.

4.5 Stock Assessments

Overfished and Overfishing Determinations

To date, no stock assessment had been done on the Mariana Archipelago crustacean fisheries thus no determinations can be made if the crustacean stocks are overfished or subject to overfishing.

MSY and OY

No values for MSY and OY are available for crustaceans in the Mariana Archipelago.

5.1 Precious Corals Fishery

5.1.1. Introduction to Precious Corals Fishery

The following table lists the Mariana Archipelago Precious Coral MUS established in the FEP.

Local Name Chamorro/Carolinian	English Common Name	Scientific Name
	pink coral	
NA	(also known as red coral)	Corallium secundum
	pink coral	
NA	(also known as red coral) pink coral	Corallium regale
NA	(also known as red coral)	Corallium laauense
NA	gold coral	Gerardia spp.
NA	gold coral	Narella spp.
NA	gold coral	Calyptrophora spp.
NA	bamboo coral	Lepidisis olapa
NA	bamboo coral	Acanella spp.
NA	black coral	Antipathes dichotoma
NA	black coral	Antipathes grandis
NA	black coral	Antipathes ulex

Table 16: Mariana Archipelago Precious Corals MUS

5.1.2 Fishery Performance and Economic Data

There is currently no active fishery for precious corals in the Mariana Archipelago.

5.1.3 Research

At this time, no direct research has been conducted on precious corals in the Mariana Archipelago. Several studies on deep-water precious corals in Hawaii are ongoing including a study on growth validation of gold coral in the Hawaiian Archipelago (see the Hawaii Archipelago FEP Annual Report for details). This study showed gold corals to grow at much slower rates (0.23 cm per year) than previously believed which must be taken into account in any management decisions (Parrish and

Roark 2009).

5.1.4 Stock Assessments

There are currently no stock assessments for precious corals in the Mariana Archipelago.

6.0 Fishing Community

6.1 Community Demonstration Projects Program & Marine Education and Training

The Community Demonstration Projects Program (CDPP) Advisory Panel (AP) met on May 4 – 5, 2010, to review applications for funding under the Western Pacific Community Demonstration Project Program and the Western Pacific Marine Education and Training (MET) Mini Grant Program. Solicitations for applications were published on January 22, 2010 in the Federal Register. The Community Demonstration Project Program solicitation application deadlines were:

- O Letter of Intent/pre-proposal, February 18, 2010,
- O Review of pre-proposal and invitation to apply March 5, 2010
- O Full application April 4, 2010.

Available Funding: \$500,000 no minimum or maximum funding limit

Purpose: to foster and promote use of traditional indigenous fishing practices and/or develop or enhance community-based fishing opportunities.

Western Pacific Marine Education and Training mini grants deadline was:

- O March 5, 2010, 5:00 PM Hawaii Standard time.
- O Available Funding: \$150,000, \$15,000 funding limit
- O Purpose: To improve communication, education and training on marine resource issues through the Western Pacific Region and increase education for marine-related professions among coastal community residents.

The Community Demonstration Project Program Advisory Panel consists of eight individuals two from each of the territorial areas in the Council's area of authority and responsibility:

American Samoa: Kitara Vaiau and Vaasa Simanu Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands: Lino Olopai and Herman Tudela Guam: Peter Perez and Dave Alvarez

Hawaii: Gary Beals and William Mossman

The process to review and rank the MET proposals and CDPP was to review each proposal through open discussion, individual ranking of the proposal using objective criteria to assign a numerical value, averaging the numerical points for an average score and listing the proposals in rank order at the end of the review. At that point the AP could reopen discussion and adjust the ranking to suit the consensus. Due diligence was applied in the initial review by Federal Program Officer(s) prior to the applications being distributed to the AP.

The MET Mini Grant proposals were ranked by the AP including two projects located in the Mariana Archipelago, as follows:

 Traditional Fishing on Guam, MARS, CNMI Heritage Awareness Diving Awareness, PMRI 	\$ 15,000 \$ 14,810	
Total amount expended for MET Unexpended MET funds	\$133,992 <u>16,008</u>	
Total funds available for MET mini grants	\$150,000	

The Western Pacific Community Demonstration Projects:

There were seven proposals under the Demonstration Project Program. Funding is limited to \$500,000 and \$49,839.25 was left after funding the top four projects.

Funding limitations resulted in the four top-ranked proposals being funded including one project in Guam as follows:

3. Guam ADA Compliant Fishing Platform, GOSA	\$158,673.75
--	--------------

6.2 Outreach and Education

The Council sponsored a high school summer course in Guam which involved 25 students over 2 weeks and included both classroom and field activities. The University of Guam College of Natural & Applied Sciences 4H Program, Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council, Guam Fishermen's Cooperative Association, Marianas Underwater Fishing Federation, University of Guam Marine Laboratory and others participated in teaching and field exercises such as spearfishing shown below.



Guam High School Summer Course Activity Photo Courtesy of University of Guam College of Natural & Applied Sciences 4H Program

7.0 Administrative and Enforcement Actions

7.1 Administrative Actions

Amendment 10 to the Bottomfish FMP (prior to establishment of the FEPs) included permitting, reporting, and vessel monitoring system (VMS) requirements for bottomfish vessels in the CNMI. The final rule establishing Federal permitting and reporting requirements for all commercial bottomfish vessels fishing in the U.S. EEZ around CNMI became effective January 12, 2009 (73 FR 75615). The final rule also closes certain EEZ waters around the CNMI (0 to 50 nautical miles offshore CNMI southern islands and 0 to 10 nautical miles offshore the northern island of Alamagan) to bottomfish fishing by vessels over 40 ft (12.2 m) in length. Vessel monitoring system (VMS) units must be installed on those larger vessels when fishing in EEZ waters around the CNMI, and the operators of those larger vessels are required to submit Federal sales reports in addition to catch reports. The final rule implementing the federal permit and logbook reporting requirements published on April 2, 2009 (74 FR 15373).

In addition, the final rule establishing eligibility requirements and procedures for reviewing and approving community development plans for western Pacific fisheries was published in September 2010 (75 FR 54044). The intent of the final rule is to promote the participation of island communities in fisheries that they have traditionally depended upon, but in which they may not have the capabilities to support continued and substantial participation.

7.2 Enforcement Actions

The major federal enforcement actions which occurred in CNMI's federal fisheries or EEZ waters during 2009 were related to pelagic fisheries and are therefore reported in the Pelagics FEP Annual Report.

7.3 Plan Team Recommendations

The Advisory Panel (AP) in 2009 recommended:

In light of the new CNMI bottomfish regulations, including the requirement for permits and reporting, the AP recommended workshops on the bottomfish regulations be held in the Marianas this year.

The AP also asked about transshipment (larger vessels in the closed areas (not fishing and gear stowed) sending out satellite smaller boats. The AP recommended that the Council address transshipment in the CNMI bottomfish fishing regulations. The AP agreed that the transshipment issues including reporting should be looked at by the Council.

8.0 Conclusion

Data gaps in CNMI's fisheries are beginning to be filled through improved reporting and the biosampling program. There is still insufficient information on life history, catch, and effort for many coral reef MUS from which to develop annual catch limits as required by 2011. It is likely that some sort of proxy will be developed and ACLs for species complexes will be used for coral reef fisheries.

The bottomfish stock was reported as healthy in the 2007 stock assessment, however, a more recent assessment using life history data collected in the biosampling program is needed.

The Mariana Archipelago will have increased impacts on its natural resources in the future with the proposed large-scale growth in military presence and frequency of training. Impacts on coastal waters from increased development and military buildup will need to be acknowledged and addressed to ensure fisheries are not impacted by habitat degradation. These issues are likely to be the major challenges fisheries in the Marianas Archipelago and fishing communities will face in the coming years.

9.0 References

Luck, D. and P. Dalzell. 2010. Western Pacific Region Reef Fish Trends, A Compendium of Ecological and Fishery Statistics for Reef Fishes in American Samoa, Hawai'i and the Mariana Archipelago, in Support of Annual Catch Limit (ACL) Implementation. October 4, 2010. Prepared for the Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council, 1164 Bishop St., Ste. 1400, Honolulu, Hawai'i.

Moffitt, R., J. Brodziak and T. Flores. 2007. Status of the Bottomfish Resources of American Samoa, Guam, and Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, 2005. NOAA's Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center. Administrative Report H-07-04. October 2007.

PIFSC. 2010. US Pacific Reef Fish Biomass Estimates Based on Visual Survey Data. By Ivor Williams, NOAA's Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center. PIFSC Internal Report IR-10-024. Issued 10 August 2010. 19 pp.

Tenorio, M.C. 2003. Crustaceans fisheries in the CNMI. Report for the Western Pacific Fishery Management Council. By the Division of Fish & Wildlife, Department of Lands and Natural Resources, Saipan, MP. 5 pp.

Family Name	Local Name Chamorro/Carolinian	English Common Name	Scientific Name
Acanthuridae	NA	orange-spot surgeonfish	Acanthurus olivaceus
(Surgeonfishes)	hugupao dangulo/ mowagh	yellowfin surgeonfish	Acanthurus xanthopterus
	kichu/limell	convict tang	Acanthurus triostegus
	NA	eye-striped surgeonfish	Acanthurus dussumieri
	NA	blue-lined surgeon	Acanthurus nigroris
	NA	whitebar surgeonfish	Acanthurus leucopareius
	hiyok/filaang	blue-banded surgeonfish	Acanthurus lineatus
	NA	blackstreak surgeonfish	Acanthurus nigricauda
	NA	whitecheek surgeonfish	Acanthurus nigricans
	NA	white-spotted surgeonfish	Acanthurus guttatus
	NA	ringtail surgeonfish	Acanthurus blochii
	NA	brown surgeonfish	Acanthurus nigrofuscus
	NA	mimic surgeonfish	Acanthurus pyroferus
	NA	yellow tang	Zebrasoma flavescens
Acanthuridae	NA	striped bristletooth	Ctenochaetus striatus
(Surgeonfishes)	NA	twospot bristletooth	Ctenochaetus binotatus
	tataga/igh-falafal	bluespine unicornfish	Naso unicornus
	hangon/bwulaalay	orangespine unicornfish	Naso lituratus
	NA	humpnose unicornfish	Naso tuberosus
	NA	black tongue unicornfish	Naso hexacanthus
	NA	bignose unicornfish	Naso vlamingii
	NA	whitemargin unicornfish	Naso annulatus
	NA	spotted unicornfish	Naso brevirostris
	NA	humpback unicornfish	Naso brachycentron
	NA	gray unicornfish	Naso caesius
Balistidae	NA	titan triggerfish	Balistoides viridescens

10.0 APPENDIX A: CORAL REEF MUS

Family Name	Local Name Chamorro/Carolinian	English Common Name	Scientific Name
(Triggerfishes)	NA	clown triggerfish	Balistoides conspicillum
	NA	orange striped triggerfish	Balistapus undulatus
	NA	pinktail triggerfish	Melichthys vidua
	NA	black triggerfish	Melichthys niger
	NA	blue triggerfish	Pseudobalistes fuscus
	NA	Picassofish	Rhinecanthus aculeatus
	NA	wedged Picassofish	Balistoides rectangulus
	NA	bridled triggerfish	Sufflamen fraenatus
Carangidae	atulai/peti	bigeye scad	Selar crumenophthalmus
(Jacks)	NA	mackerel scad	Decapterus macarellus
Carcharhinidae	NA	grey reef shark	Carcharhinus
(Sharks)	NA	silvertip shark	amblyrhynchos Carcharhinus alhimarginatus
	NA	Galapagos shark	Carcharhinus galapagensis
	NA	blacktip reef shark	Carcharhinus
	NA	whitetip reef shark	Triaenodon obesus
Holocentridae	saksak/mweel	bigscale soldierfish	Myripristis berndti
(Solderfish/ Squirrelfish	sagamelon	bronze soldierfish	Myripristis adusta
Squinemisi	sagamelon	blotcheye soldierfish	Myripristis murdjan
	sagamelon	brick soldierfish	Myripristis amaena
	sagamelon	scarlet soldierfish	Myripristis pralinia
	sagamelon	violet soldierfish	Myripristis violacea
	sagamelon	whitetip soldierfish	Myripristis vittata
	sagamelon	yellowfin soldierfish	Myripristis chryseres
	sagamelon	pearly soldierfish	Myripristis kuntee
	sagamelon	tailspot squirrelfish	Sargocentron
			caudimaculatum
	NA	file-lined squirrelfish	Sargocentron
			microstoma

Family Name	Local Name Chamorro/Carolinian	English Common Name	Scientific Name
	chalak	crown squirrelfish	Sargocentron diadema
	sagsag/leet	blue-lined squirrelfish	Sargocentron tiere
	sisiok	saber or long jaw	Sargocentron spiniferum
		squirrelfish	
	sagsag/leet	spotfin squirrelfish	Neoniphon spp.
Kuhliidae (Flagtails)	NA	barred flag-tail	Kuhlia mugil
Kyphosidae	guili	rudderfish	Kyphosus biggibus
(Rudderfish)	guili/schpwul	rudderfish	Kyphosus cinerascens
	guilen puengi/reel	rudderfish	Kyphosus vaigienses
Labridae	NA	floral wrasse	Cheilinus chlorourus
(Wrasses)	tangison/maam	napoleon wrasse	Cheilinus undulates
	lalacha mamate/	triple-tail wrasse	Cheilinus trilobatus
	porou		
	NA	harlequin tuskfish or	Cheilinus fasciatus
		red-breasted wrasse	
	NA	ring-tailed wrasse	Oxycheilinus unifasciatus
	NA	razor wrasse	Xyrichtys pavo
	NA	whitepatch wrasse	Xyrichtys aneitensis
	NA	cigar wrasse	Cheilio inermis
	NA	blackeye thicklip	Hemigymnus melapterus
	NA	barred thicklip	Hemigymnus fasciatus
	NA	three-spot wrasse	Halichoeres trimaculatus
	NA	checkerboard wrasse	Halichoeres hortulanus
	NA	weedy surge wrasse	Halichoeres
			margaritacous
	NA	surge wrasse	Thalassoma purpureum
	NA	red ribbon wrasse	Thalassoma
			quinquevittatum
	NA	sunset wrasse	Thalassoma lutescens

Family Name	Local Name Chamorro/Carolinian	English Common Name	Scientific Name
	NA	longface wrasse	Hologynmosus doliatus
	NA	rockmover wrasse	Novaculichthys
			taeniourus
Mullidae	NA	yellow goatfish	Mulloidichthys spp.
(Goatfishes)	satmoneti/wichigh	yellowfin goatfish	Mulloidichthys
	ti'ao (juv.) satmoneti (adult)	yellowstripe goatfish	vanicolensis Mulloidichthys flavolineatus
	NA	banded goatfish	Parupeneus spp.
	satmonetiyo/failighi	dash-dot goatfish	Parupeneus barberinus
	satmoneti acho/ sungoongo	doublebar goatfish	Parupeneus bifasciatus
	NA	redspot goatfish	Parupeneus
			heptacanthus
	ti'ao (juv.) satmoneti (adult)	white-lined goatfish	Parupeneus ciliatus
	ti'ao (juv.) satmoneti (adult)	yellowsaddle goatfish	Parupeneus cyclostomas
	ti'ao (juv.) satmoneti (adult)	side-spot goatfish	Parupeneus pleurostigma
	ti'ao (juv.) satmoneti (adult)	multi-barred goatfish	Parupeneus multifaciatus
	NA	band tail goatfish	Upeneus arge
Mugilidae (Mullets)	aguas (juv.) laiguan (adult)	striped mullet	Mugil cephalus
()	aguas (juv.) laiguan (adult)	Engel's mullet	Moolgarda engeli
	aguas (juv.) laiguan (adult)	fringelip mullet	Crenimugil crenilabis
Muraenidae (Moray eels)	NA	yellowmargin moray eel	Gymnothorax flavimarginatus
	NA	giant moray eel	Gymnothorax javanicus
	NA	undulated moray eel	Gymnothorax undulatus
Octopodidae	gamsun	octopus	Octopus cyanea
(Octopus)	gamsun	octopus	Octopus ornatus
Polynemidae	NA	threadfin	Polydactylus sexfilis
Pricanthidae	NA	glasseye	Heteropriacanthus

Family Name	Local Name Chamorro/Carolinian	English Common Name	Scientific Name
(Bigeye)			cruentatus
	NA	bigeye	Priacanthus hamrur
Scaridae	atuhong/roow	humphead parrotfish	Bolbometopon
(Parrotfishes)			muricatum
	palakse/laggua	parrotfish	Scarus spp.
	gualafi/oscha	Pacific longnose	Hipposcarus longiceps
		parrotfish	
	palaksin chaguan	stareye parrotfish	Calotomus carolinus
Scombridae	white tuna/ayul	dogtooth tuna	Gymnosarda unicolor
Siganidae	hiting/manahok/llegh	forktail rabbitfish	Siganus aregentus
(Rabbitfish)	hiting	golden rabbitfish	Siganus guttatus
	hiting galagu	gold-spot rabbitfish	Siganus punctatissimus
	NA	Randall's rabbitfish	Siganus randalli
	hiting/sesyon/palawa	scribbled rabbitfish	Siganus spinus
	hiting	vermiculate rabbitfish	Siganus vermiculatus
Sphyraenidae (Barracuda)	NA	Heller's barracuda	Sphyraena helleri
	NA	great barracuda	Sphyraena barracuda
Turbinidae	aliling pulan/aliling	green snails	<i>Turbo</i> spp.
(turban /green snails	tulompu	turban shells	