De-facto marine protection from a Navy bombing range: Farallon De Medinilla, Marianas Archipelago, 1997 to 2012
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ABSTRACT

Fourteen surveys were conducted at Farallon De Medinilla (a U.S. Department of Defense bombing range in the Marianas Archipelago) between 1997 and 2012; annual surveys were conducted from 1999 through 2012. There was no evidence that the condition of the biological resources assessed had changed, or been adversely impacted to a significant degree by the training activities being conducted there. Restricted access has resulted in a de-facto preserve effect and outweighs minor negative impacts from training. The health, abundance and biomass of fishes, corals and other marine resources are comparable to or superior to those in similar habitats at other locations within the Marianas Archipelago. Our research suggests that the greatest threat to FDM's marine resources is from fishermen, not military training activities.

Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

1.1. Marine protected areas

Many investigators have shown that near shore marine ecosystems around the world are subject to increasingly adverse impacts from anthropogenic factors including unsustainable fishing, improper/ineffective waste disposal, coastal development, introduced species, anchor damage, and tourism, e.g. jet skiing, collection of marine organisms, recreational snorkeling and scuba diving and reef walking (Smith, 1988; Harriott, 1997; Van Treeck and Schumacher, 1998; Dassak et al., 2000; Jackson et al., 2001; Worm et al., 2006; Shivani, 2007; Carpenter et al., 2008; and Sheppard et al., 2012). Direct and indirect anthropogenic impacts, coupled with natural phenomena have placed coral reefs and their associated flora and fauna in a precarious position worldwide (Brainard et al., 2011; PIFSC, 2014). Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) have become more numerous since the 1980s. However, their effectiveness is dependent upon many factors including location, size, and the degree to which the MPA's regulations are actually enforced (Kerwath et al., 2008; Sheppard et al., 2012). Halpern (2003), Selig and Bruno (2010) and Roberts et al. (2001) have shown that when enforced, protected areas conserve the structure and function of local ecosystems and provide spill over benefits to adjacent areas. During the last decade, most publicly accessible coastal areas are declining, but in contrast marine natural resources in areas under control by the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD), with limited or no public access, are thriving and/or in significantly better condition than adjacent areas (Smith et al., 2006; Stein, 2008). Many DoD properties support three to seven times the densities of Endangered Species Act (ESA) status species and imperilled species as are found on lands administered by other federal and state agencies (Stein, 2008). Marine resources within these DoD controlled areas are generally healthier, larger and more abundant than those outside. These areas are serving as de-facto MPAs.

At DoD locations with restricted access, many of the key stressors common to public coastal areas are absent or greatly reduced. Of course, some DoD sites have their own set of unique stressors, but in the case of the site investigated in this paper, the net benefit of restricted public access clearly outweighed any adverse impacts related to DoD activities.

1.2. Farallon De Medinilla — general geography

Farallon De Medinilla (FDM) is located in the Mariana Archipelago, a chain of 15 volcanic islands approximately 2300 km south of Japan and 3600 km west southwest of Hawaii (Fig. 1). Politically/legally FDM is within the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas Islands (CNMI). FDM is 1.6 km long with an area approximately 72 ha. It is 278 km north of Guam, 65 km north of Saipan (Fig. 1). The southern portion of the Mariana Archipelago includes the islands of Guam, Saipan, Tinian, Aguian, Rota and FDM. These islands all have an extensive limestone cap, primarily Mariana Limestone, which covers their volcanic core. Islands within the northern portion of the archipelago are volcanically active and lack a limestone cap. There are no surface water bodies or streams on FDM and it is surrounded by steep, unstable sea cliffs.
(Figs. 2 and 3). Terrestrial surveys and literature reviews have never found evidence that FDM was inhabited (Whistler, 1996).

The Mariana Archipelago is seismically very active, e.g. the U.S. Geological Survey recorded 245 earthquakes with magnitudes up to 5.7 between 1999 and 2010. Tsunamis affect the archipelago from both locally generated seismic events as well as events in Japan, the Philippines and Indonesia. The island of Anatahan is an active volcano and is located only 60 km from FDM. In addition, FDM is located within one of the most active typhoon regions in the world (Fig. 4), and is normally subject to high waves and strong current conditions.

1.3. Military training zones

Portions of FDM have been utilized as a live and inert firing and bombing range since 1971. The island is divided into four zones, for training purposes (Fig. 5).

1.4. Biogeography

FDM falls within the Indo-Pacific Biogeographic Region and the Mariana Islands sub-ecoregion. Richmond et al. (2008) lists over 375 Scleractinian corals and 1000 fish species within the archipelago. Its marine community, like those of the northern islands, is less complex than those around the larger islands, such as Guam due to its small size, the lack of shallow water, the absence of any lagoons, reef flats or fringing reefs. Richmond et al. (2008) noted that five key limiting factors affect marine flora and fauna in the northern portion of the archipelago: 1) unfavorable bathymetry, 2) lack of suitable substrate on which corals can recruit and grow, 3) high wave energy conditions, 4) re-suspension of volcanic ash and, 5) volcanic eruptions. Of these, high energy conditions and a lack of suitable substrate are likely to be the most significant. Much of the nearshore environment at FDM consists of naturally relatively barren rock or coarse rubble and sand (Table 1). Of note, are the

Fig. 3. Farallon De Medinilla, NW coast showing unstable sea cliffs and caves. Large, extensive submarine caves are present around the island. Photo taken in 2010 during calmest sea state ever encountered.
Fig. 4. Typhoon and tropical storm tracks in the vicinity of Farallon De Medinilla 1995 to 2012.

Fig. 5. Training zones at Farallon De Medinilla and the dive survey tracks completed in 2012. Note, nearly identical tracks were completed during all surveys since 2005.

In spite of these limiting factors, FDM supports diverse and abundant flora and fauna with fin fish biomass comparable to or exceeding those recorded by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Pacific Islands Fishery Science Center Mariana Archipelago...
Reef Assessment and Monitoring Program (MARAMP) during its archipelagic surveys conducted between 2003 and 2009 (PIFSC, 2014). Due to safety constraints, no MARAMP surveys were conducted at FDM.

2. Materials and methods

Marine surveys at FDM presented significant challenges: remote location, generally adverse sea states, strong currents (speeds up to 7 km/h have been measured), on-going use of the island as a military training range, and the presence of unexplored ordnance on the sea floor. Table 2 lists the known marine biological surveys which have been conducted at FDM.

The U.S. Navy (Navy) funded the initial survey in 1997 by the University of Hawaii, NOAA, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI) Division of Fish and Wildlife. These same four biologists conducted subsequent surveys through 2004. Since 2004, all surveys were performed by the authors. Diving and safety support for all surveys was provided by Navy Explosive Ordnance Disposal Unit Detachment Marianas (EOD DET MARI).

Primary objectives of all surveys were to assess conditions of the nearshore habitats and key species, changes in the latter over time, and to estimate the extent of environmental change that could be attributed to anthropogenic factors and determine what, if any, mitigation measures for training would be effective and feasible.

2.1. Methods utilized

The methods used in all 14 surveys were judged to be comparable. The Supplementary Information Appendix 1 describes the methods utilized between 1997 and 2004. Safety and liability concerns resulted in the shift to an all Navy survey team in 2005. These relied on scuba, sometimes assisted with Diver Propulsion Vehicles (DPVs). Each year after 2005, the entire island was circumnavigated underwater at multiple depths, to 31 m. Dive tracks covered, as closely as possible, the same areas each year (Fig. 5), and one or more of the same EOD team members participated each year (1999–2012) which helped to ensure the same areas were assessed. Lateral visibility averaged 30 m, but ranged from 7 to 70 m. The biologists swam in parallel, usually spaced 15 to 20 m apart depending upon sea floor contours and visibility. At least one EOD diver was located between the biologists. Therefore, a wide surveillance swath of 75 to 100 m was completed on most dives.

2.1.1. Physical factors

During all 14 surveys, the physical environment was examined for evidence of damage, including: craters, blast pits, peeled layers of rock, cracked, broken or fragmented rocks, boulders and coral, freshly derived terrestrial rock fragments or boulders, ordnance fragments, intact bombs, rockets or missiles, fishing line, sinkers, hooks, traps, snares, anchors, and chain/line. The locations and dimensions of all were recorded. Where possible, the ordnance items were identified and aged by EOD personnel.

2.1.2. Algae

From 2005 Cyanophyta/Cyanobacteria (blue-green algae) were evaluated along with the three major functional groups, as described by Littler and Littler (2003); turf algae, crustose corallines (CCA), all other algae. The use of the term turf algae is sometimes inconsistent and often disputed (Connell et al., 2014). As used here, turf algae, were the multi-species assemblage of diminutive, generally filamentous algal species with heights generally less than 5 cm.

2.1.3. Coral

Coral species were field identified to the lowest possible taxa during all 14 surveys. From 2005 onward, data was recorded on: 1) partial or complete mortality of individual colonies, 2) mucus production, 3) coral diseases, 4) predation, 5) evidence of macro-bioeroders, and 6) bleaching.

Distinguishing between natural and anthropogenic damage was focused on. Partial mortality (surface lesions or dead areas) can be an effective indicator of stress (Hughes and Jackson, 1988; Riegl, 1989). Mucus production in Scleractinia is also an indicator of stress from pollutants, sedimentation, etc. (Stafford-Smith and Ormond, 1992; Stafford-Smith, 1993; Wild et al., 2005). Bruno et al. (2001) and Sutherland et al. (2004) demonstrated that corals are more vulnerable to disease when they are under environmental stress. An assessment of predation was made based upon action by Crown-of-Thorns starfish (COTS), gastropod corallivores such as Drupella sp., Parrotfish bite scars and macro-bioeroders (e.g. boring sponges). Cooper et al. (2008) correlated diminished water quality with high densities of macro-bioeroders. Bleaching (loss or reduction in the symbiotic zooanthellae) was evaluated by placing coral into one of four categories: 1) <10% bleached, 2) >10% <50% bleached, 3) >50% bleached, and 4) bleached and partially or completely overgrown with algae.

2.1.4. Macroscopic invertebrates

Opportunistic counts were made of selected fishery target species: giant clams (Tridacna sp.), top shells (Trochus niloticus), spider conch (Lambis sp.), and sea cucumbers (all species). A special effort was made to record any of the coral-eating Crown-of-Thorns starfish (Acanthaster planci), hereafter referred to as COTS. Subjective observations of sea urchins and feather stars were also made.

2.1.5. Sharks, rays and bony fishes

During all 14 surveys, specimens were identified to the lowest possible taxa; identification was based upon Myers (1991), Randall (2001) and Randall (2007). Estimated sizes were recorded as total length (TL), except for rays whose maximum disk width was recorded. Prior to 2005, individual species abundance was recorded as abundant (>25 individual sightings/30 min), common (15 < 25), occasional (5–14), and rare (<5). Beginning in 2005, selected individual species abundance estimates were made, and selected family abundances were combined. Species and families assessed by the authors are shown in Table 3. Appropriate scaling was applied to normalize abundance estimates to a 30 minute observation period. In addition, actual counts of sharks, rays, and selected bony fish were made.
Table 3
Fish species and families semi-quantitatively assessed from 2005 through 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Caranx melampygus</th>
<th>Caranx amblyrhynchos</th>
<th>Caranx sp.</th>
<th>Triodon obesus</th>
<th>Whitetip reef shark</th>
<th>Conus rugosus</th>
<th>Nucifera</th>
<th>Nebrius fervidus</th>
<th>Nurse shark</th>
<th>Caretta caretta</th>
<th>Aetobatis narinari</th>
<th>Spotted eagle ray</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blacktip reef shark</td>
<td>Gray reef shark</td>
<td>Trevally</td>
<td>Black jack</td>
<td>Conus rugosus</td>
<td>Goliath trevally</td>
<td>Giant trevally</td>
<td>Nurse shark</td>
<td>Conus rugosus</td>
<td>Caretta caretta</td>
<td>Aetobatis narinari</td>
<td>Spotted eagle ray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taeniura meeki Black-blotched runner</td>
<td>L. gibbus Humpback sniper</td>
<td>L. gibbus Humpback sniper</td>
<td>Caretta caretta</td>
<td>Caretta caretta</td>
<td>Caretta caretta</td>
<td>Caretta caretta</td>
<td>Caretta caretta</td>
<td>Caretta caretta</td>
<td>Caretta caretta</td>
<td>Caretta caretta</td>
<td>Caretta caretta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elyatis bigelowi Rainbow runner</td>
<td>Mullidae (all goatfishes)</td>
<td>Mullidae (all goatfishes)</td>
<td>Mullidae (all goatfishes)</td>
<td>Mullidae (all goatfishes)</td>
<td>Mullidae (all goatfishes)</td>
<td>Mullidae (all goatfishes)</td>
<td>Mullidae (all goatfishes)</td>
<td>Mullidae (all goatfishes)</td>
<td>Mullidae (all goatfishes)</td>
<td>Mullidae (all goatfishes)</td>
<td>Mullidae (all goatfishes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaridae (all parrotfishes)</td>
<td>Scaridae (all parrotfishes)</td>
<td>Scaridae (all parrotfishes)</td>
<td>Scaridae (all parrotfishes)</td>
<td>Scaridae (all parrotfishes)</td>
<td>Scaridae (all parrotfishes)</td>
<td>Scaridae (all parrotfishes)</td>
<td>Scaridae (all parrotfishes)</td>
<td>Scaridae (all parrotfishes)</td>
<td>Scaridae (all parrotfishes)</td>
<td>Scaridae (all parrotfishes)</td>
<td>Scaridae (all parrotfishes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Schooling species which were observed to aggregate at particular locations each year were repeatedly photographed and fish counts were made from the photos. Those counts were compared with the stationary visual counts made at the aggregation sites.

2.1.6. Sea turtles

Five species of sea turtles are recorded from the Mariana Archipelago, but only two species were seen during the 1997 to 2012 surveys. All sea turtles observed from the dive boat and underwater were recorded. When possible, the species, estimated straight carapace length (<50 cm, >50 < 100 cm, >100 cm), sex, apparent health, distinguishing features (scars, barnacles, tumors), and the turtle’s activity when first observed (swimming, resting, and feeding) were recorded.

3. Results

It had previously been concluded that no significant changes in species composition, diversity or health were detected in the flora or fauna from 1999 through 2003, and differences seen in 2004 were attributed to a typhoon. See Appendix 2 for additional information on these surveys.

From 2005, a special effort was made to conduct the surveys as soon as possible and always less than 10 days after Navy training events were completed. The 2008 and 2010 surveys were performed 36 and 55 h after training stopped.

3.1. Physical conditions

Many common coral reef stressors are missing or greatly reduced at FDM. Table 4 lists some of these stressors. Minor physical disturbances from the training activities and from undetermined causes were observed during every survey. Direct ordnance impacts on the submerged physical environment, clearly attributable to training activities, were detected in 2007, 2008, 2010, and 2012. Indirect impacts, such as fresh/uncolonized rock and ordnance fragments blasted off the island were detected every year. Most ordnance fragments were less than 5 cm in their maximum dimension; intact/partially intact ordnance items sighted on the sea floor nearly always had deep scratches in their cases, lacked fins or tail assemblies and/or were bent. It was concluded that the substantial majority of ordnance items on the sea floor, initially hit the island and then skipped or ricocheted off or were eroded off at a later date (Figs. 9 and 10a–b).

Three fresh inert (non-explosive) MK82 series bombs (500 lbs.) were observed on the sea floor in 2007 (Fig. 11a–b). These bombs were dropped either four or five days prior to that survey. The damage foot print from these inert bombs was approximately 17 m² each. During the 2008 survey, the same sites were revisited. The bombs were gone and no broken coral or disturbed sea floor areas were seen; it was concluded that the ordnance items moved down slope into deeper water by natural forces and that that new algae, sponges, and/or corals recruited to the disturbed areas making them indistinguishable from the adjacent sea floor. Any movement/rolling of the bombs down slope would be expected to damage or destroy sessile benthic organism in the bomb’s path; however, the authors did not detect any evidence of such damage.

No blast pits or peels were observed in any of the surveys from 2005 to 2009 or in 2012. As used here, ‘peels’ are thin layers of rock removed or planed off the adjacent rock as distinguished from pits or craters. There was no clear evidence that any ordnance had detonated on or near the seafloor or at the sea surface during those six years.

The 2010 survey was conducted less than 55 h after the most intense bombardment on record (up to that date) for FDM. Sea conditions were also the most benign ever experienced at FDM (Beauford Sea State 2–4) during most of the six-day survey (Fig. 3). It was clear that a small bomb or projectile had exploded on the waterline along a cliff ledge in 21 SW. A newly exposed rock face measuring approximately 5 m in its maximum dimension parallel to the sea surface and approximately 1 m above and below the sea surface was observed. Fresh, white rock fragments were present below the newly exposed impact area, ranging in size from 2 to 30 cm, and were observed at depths between 2 and 12 m. No corals, or coral fragments were sighted. Sparsely distributed but apparently healthy corals were present on the cliff face within 3 m of the

---

Table 4
Common coral reef stressors which are absent or reduced at FDM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stressors</th>
<th>Stressors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grounding of personal watercraft</td>
<td>Untreated sewage discharge personal watercraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improper/inadequate waste water disposal</td>
<td>Improper/inadequate storm water runoff disposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illegal dumping of hazardous materials/waste</td>
<td>Improper/inadequate erosion control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harassment of marine life by beachgoers</td>
<td>Reduced H2O quality from large volumes of sun block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improper disposal of refuse, particularly plastics, diapers, pull tabs, bottle caps and cans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
edge of the exposed rock. Most of these were *Porites* sp. with maximum dimensions of less than 8 cm. This genus includes many pioneering species. Apparent blast over-pressure mortality to small (<10 cm) oysters growing near the impact location was observed. The valves of 10 oysters were separated, with the top portion of several of the valves still lying beside the bottom/attached half of the oyster. These oyster shells would have been quickly washed away if normal wave conditions had been present and these impacts would not have been detected. No soft tissue remained in any of the oyster shells; presumably quickly consumed by fish or other sea creatures.

During the 2010 survey, a fresh crater/blast pit 5 m across and 50 cm deep was observed for the first time, located in the southern portion of Z3W, at a depth of 12 m. This is likely to have been caused by a bomb detonation at the water surface. As with the previously described incident, this event occurred in an area dominated by relatively barren bedrock. No corals or any other sessile benthic invertebrates or the remains thereof were observed in the crater/blast pit or within a distance of 4 m from the edge of the crater. Past the 4 m perimeter (approximately 9 m from the center of the impact site), sea floor cover by corals was estimated to be less than 5%; those corals did not show evidence of damage. Coral cover was uniform for most of Z3W (<5%–10%), except on the tops of some large cliff blocks and boulders where coral cover ranged to >50%. The bedrock segments of the sea floor with less than 5% coral cover are typical around much of FDM at depths of 14 m or less.

The 2010 survey probably provided the most accurate and worst case scenario for ordnance impacts on the physical and biological environment. About 1.2% of the total items fired or dropped during the 2010 training event that preceded the 2010 survey were detected on the sea floor.
3.2. Biological conditions

3.2.1. Algae

Based upon total sea floor cover, crustose calcareous algae (CCA) and turf algae were the dominant functional algal groups from the intertidal zone to 30 m. However, at depths below 15 m on the near vertical faces of large boulders, cliff blocks and sheer portions of the island's submerged walls, the calcareous green algae Halimeda sp. was very abundant and sometimes dominant. Sea floor cover by Cyanophyta/Cyanobacteria (Blue-green algae) was most abundant in 2007, consistent with the conclusions in PIFSC surveys (PIFSC, 2008, PIFSC, 2014). No significant changes were observed between survey years for any of the other algal groups.

3.2.2. Corals

Scleractinia are the dominant coral group at FDM based upon the cover, frequency of occurrence, species diversity and influence upon other invertebrates and fishes. Of these, Pocillopora is the dominant genus; the two most abundant species during all survey years being Pocillopora meandrina and Pocillopora eydouxi. Massive growth forms of Porites (lobata and lutea) were the next most abundant based upon cover.

Well-developed coral reefs are present around many of the islands within the Mariana Archipelago. However, true coral reefs do not exist at FDM (Riegler et al., 2008). There is coral bearing substrate, but the corals are not sufficiently developed to be "...framework- or biogenic morphology-producing" (Riegler, 2008). The densest coral cover observed during all survey years was on the tops of large cliff blocks and bedrock spurs at depths of over 15 m (Fig. 7). A single exception to this can be found off the northern half of Z2W and southern portion of Z3W. There, a relatively gentle sloping plateau extended from approximately 15 to 22 m deep and supported coral cover >60%, with much of that comprised of massive Porites sp. (Fig. 12a&b).

No significant changes in species composition, colony distribution, size frequency, COTS or predation by COTS, Black or White band diseases have been observed, and evidence of macro-bioeroders has been very limited. Excessive mucous production was never observed and an abundance of 0.5–2.0 cm sized corals (recruits) were present every year. Many authors have concluded that conditions such as these are indicative of healthy reef environments (Bruno et al., 2003; Cooper et al., 2008; Ghiold and Smith, 1990; Hughes and Jackson, 1980; Riegler, 1995; Stafford-Smith, 1993; Stafford-Smith and Ormond, 1992; Sutherland et al., 2004 and Wild et al., 2005).

Two exceptions to this situation have been detected. The first occurred in 2007; a regional bleaching event extended from southern Japan through the Mariana Archipelago and south at least as far as the Republic of Palau. At FDM, members of the Scleractinia, Milleporella and Alcyonacea showed slight to severe bleaching. By the time of the 2008 survey, the Milleporella (fire corals) and Alcyonacea (soft corals), which had been bleached, appeared to have recovered completely. The authors estimated that 75% of bleached Scleractinia (primarily

Fig. 12. a&b. The 15–22 m deep plateaus in portions of Z2W and Z3W supports the largest and most extensive Scleractinian coral cover on FDM.
P. edouxi or P. meandrina), had fully recovered by 2008; 25% died and were overgrown with CCA and/or other algae.

The second significant event was an infestation of P. meandrina by the coral barnacle Cantellus sp. (family Pyrgommatidae) in 2012. Approximately 40% of all the P. meandrina colonies were infested, dead or dying, including specimens at the northern end of FDM where no training activities take place. Comparative observations were made at two un-named reefs 2 km north of FDM. P. meandrina was the dominant coral at those locations. Although those reefs have never been subject to bombing, artillery fire or training of any kind, their infestation rate was estimated to be approximately double that observed at FDM. Therefore, it is unlikely that the infestation was related in any way to the Navy's activities. See Appendix 3 for additional information on this phenomenon.

Using frequency of occurrence and percentage cover, Alcyonacea rank second to Scleractinia. Lobophytum and Sinularia are most abundant at depths between 20 and 30 m in Z1W and Z4W. During the 2007 bleaching event, a number of specimens of both these genera located in Z1E and Z3E showed slight signs of bleaching (<10% of the colony's surface area was bleached). The percentage of all soft corals showing some bleaching was estimated to be about 5% in 2007. All the soft corals observed in 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2012 appeared to be healthy, including several colonies known to have been partially bleached in 2007. Cover by Sarcophyton sp. appeared to have increased in Z4W at the time of the 2010 survey and was continuing to increase in 2012. Areas which had previously been relatively bare bedrock supported numerous colonies.

Abundance estimates of all other coral groups were low during all survey years. Antipatharia (black and wire corals) were most often seen below 25 m. Several black coral trees observed in Z1E and Z4W during 2005 were still present in 2012. Millepora (fire corals) appeared to favor very large boulders and cliff blocks, some distance from the shoreline. Stylophora (lace corals) and Gorgonacea (horny and whip corals and sea fans) were most common in the large sea caves and undercut ledges. No sea fans over 50 cm were seen. All the blue coral colonies (Helioptoracea) were sighted at the north and south ends of the island.

### 3.2.3. Fishes

One or more representatives of 45 fish families were sighted during 2012 (see SI). Members of at least 42 families were seen each year. Figs. 13a–b and 14a–b illustrate some of these fishes. Representatives of some of the rarer families, for example, Ephippidae (spadefish), were only sighted in some years and they were never abundant (<5 individuals per observation period). Most families whose members reached common or abundant levels varied little from year to year.

All of the fishes sighted appeared to be healthy and robust; no lesions or abnormalities were ever observed. All observations were consistent with previous literature and were what one would expect from a relatively isolated island in that biogeographic region. Specifically, the numerically dominant species at FDM closely matched the numerically dominant fishery target species in the Marianas Archipelago as reported by PFSC (2012) and Schroeder et al. (2006), and the location of the sightings and behavior matched descriptions of preferred habitat and activity patterns for these species as reported by Myers (1991), Randall (2001), Randall (2007), and other investigators. For example, the twospot snapper (Lutjanus bohar) was the most common large snapper and the sleek unicornfish (Naso hexacanthus) was the numerically dominant surgeonfish.

For most of the fish taxa at FDM, the apparent slight variations noted year to year were probably random. There were three notable exceptions to this 'stable state'. During 2010 and particularly in 2012, changes were noted, which could be attributed to increased fishing pressure.

1) Large parrotfish, such as Scarus rubroviolaceus and Chlorurus frontalis were sighted in all zones between 2005 and 2009. Large specimens (>45 cm TL) showed no fear of divers and could be easily approached. In 2010, fewer large specimens were sighted, and those sighted behaved in a skittish manner, quickly swimming away from the divers; a behavior characteristic of parrotfish in areas subject to spear fishing. In 2012, this situation was dramatically worse; that is, few large parrotfish of any species were observed in any zones and those which were sighted fled when approached.

2) The peacock grouper (Cephalopholis argus) and the lyretail grouper (Variola louti) were the most common groupers during the 2005 to 2010 surveys. Per Schroeder et al. (2006), this was an expected finding for the Marianas. Lyretail groupers were not sighted during the 2012 survey and fewer than ten peacock groupers were seen. Both these species are highly sought after by commercial spear fishermen.

3) Lutjanidae (snappers) includes some of the world's most popular food fish and many of its members in the Marianas are heavily fished. Lutjanids are one of the more abundant fish families at FDM. The twospot snapper (L. bohar) was estimated to contribute more to fish biomass than any other snapper, grouper or emperor. This species was abundant during the 2005 to 2010 surveys (>25 individuals counted / 20 min) in all zones, except Z2E and Z2W. Aggregations of more than 100 individuals were sighted in 2007 in Z1W and Z4W. In 2008, aggregations were also sighted, but the number of individual fish was estimated to be less, 50–75 individuals. No aggregations of the twospot snapper were observed in 2009 and 2010, although schools of 10 to 15 individuals were sighted in all zones. In 2012, the largest number of twospot snappers sighted during any
dive was 20 and their total island wide numbers were estimated to be less than half of previous years. The usually bold and inquisitive twospot snappers swam away when approached by divers in 2012. The humpback snapper (Lutjanus gibbus) was the second most common snapper during the 2006 to 2008 period followed by the bluelined snapper (Lutjanus kasmira). In 2009 and 2010, the bluelined snapper was judged to be more abundant than the humpback snapper. The 2009 and 2010 normalized counts for both species resulted in many more than 25 individuals counted in every zone meaning that these two snapper species were abundant around the island. Counts of these two snapper species were not made during 2012. However, on six of the 19 dives, neither species was sighted. The total numbers of these three most common snapper species appear to have declined significantly between 2010 and 2012.

During biennial surveys (2000–2007) of coral reef shark populations performed around 50 U.S. Pacific islands, including the Mariana Archipelago, only five species of sharks were recorded in sufficient numbers for statistical analyses (Nadon et al., 2008): gray reef shark (Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos) (Fig. 13b), Galapagos shark (Carcharhinus galapagensis), whitetip reef shark (Triaenodon obesus), blacktip reef shark (Carcharhinus melanopterus), and tawny nurse shark (Nebrius ferrugineus). During all the authors’ surveys of FDM the species positively identified were: the gray reef, blacktip reef, whitetip reef, and tawny nurse. No Galapagos sharks were positively identified although several sharks sighted were only identified to genus (Carcharhinus). No scalloped hammerheads or other hammerhead species have been sighted. Shark sightings are summarized in the SL section. Specimens greater than 300 cm TL (total length) were seen every year except 2007 and the number of shark sightings per diver averaged up to 3.7 per diver per dive. All shark species observed appeared to be healthy and stout.

The spotted eagle ray (Aetobatis narinari) was the most common ray observed during all surveys. Schools of 25 spotted eagle rays were sighted off the southern tip of the island. Spotted eagle rays have been routinely observed in all zones around FDM and range in size from 100 to 200 cm in disk (wing) width. The black-blotched stingray (Taeniura meyeni) was the second most common ray sighted during the 2005 to 2012 time period. Like the spotted eagle ray, they have been observed in all zones. Four new distribution records for rays at FDM were made between 2005 and 2012. The Tahitian stingray (Himantura fai) was documented in 2010 in Z1W (Fig. 13a). Two separate sightings of the porcupine ray (Urogymnus asperrimus) synonymous with Urogymnus afericarius) were made in 2007. The disk widths were approximately 90 and 125 cm for the specimens in ZZE and Z3E, respectively. A honeycomb stingray (Himantura uarnak), over 200 cm in disk width, was sighted in Z4E during the 2007 survey. Myers and Donaldson (2003) does not list this species as being present in the Mariannas although Myers (1991) records this species from Micronesia. The fourth new stingray record for FDM was the mangrove whipray (Himantura granulata). It was observed in ZZE in 2008.

Wrasse (Labridae) are one of the most speciose groups at FDM. This group includes the Napoleon wrasse (aloha humphead wrasse Cheilinus undulatus). NOAA has listed this fish as a Species of Concern and the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List designates them as Endangered. Żgliczynski et al. (2008) conducted surveys for Napoleon wrasse at 32 U.S. flag Pacific Islands, including islands in the Mariana Archipelago, but not at FDM. Żgliczynski et al. (2008) recorded the highest densities of this species at Wake Atoll. During the 2007 FDM survey, the numbers sighted were comparable to those reported at Wake Atoll. At FDM, juvenile, adult female, and adult male specimens (up to 200 cm TL) were observed each year and the number of sightings and locations at which sightings have been made increased with each survey, until 2010. The presence of Napoleon wrasse and their increasing numbers up to 2010 was considered highly significant, given the depressed numbers of this species in most of the archipelago and most of their global range. Fewer sightings were made in 2011. In 2012 only two mature Napoleon wrasse were sighted; TLs were estimated at 60 and 100 cm, respectively.

3.2.4. Sea turtles

The SI gives detail of the sea turtle sightings. Turtles were sighted during all 14 surveys, and appeared to be healthy. None of the specimens seen by the authors had any visible fibropapilloma tumors, barnacles, lesions, or other visible abnormalities.

The number of sea turtle sightings per biologist dive was low, ranging from 0.13 to 0.36 per biologist per dive in each year. For comparative purposes, some study sites off Oahu, Hawaii which have been surveyed since 1999 by the authors have averaged more than 10 sea turtles sighted per dive during all seasons; 28 times higher than the FDM densities. The precipitous sea cliffs, lack of suitable haul out sites or beaches preclude the ability of turtles to nest or bask at FDM. The authors believe that there are few, if any, year round resident sea turtles at FDM. Sea turtles are more likely transient visitors.

4. Discussion

4.1. Physical environment

Impacts, such as from ordinance that skipped or eroded off the island and rock and ordnance fragments blasted off the island, were detected every year. Direct impacts from inert ordnance were seen in four of 14 surveys. Evidence of in-water detonations was confirmed only twice between 1997 and 2012. The shoreline detonation and sea floor crater

Fig. 14. a. Top — black/white unicornfish (Naso hexacanthus) and yellowback fusiliers (Caesio teres), and b. (bottom) bigeye trevally (Caranx sexfasciatus) are among FDM most abundant fishes.
observed in 2010 resulted in approximately 10 m² and 20 m² of damaged rock/sea floor. The adjacent area of physical disturbance was estimated to be roughly 20 m² and 110 m². These impact areas were located in very high energy segments of FDM's coastline and natural coral cover at both sites was less than 5%. These training related physical disturbances were therefore a relatively rare collateral effect of training and are insignificant compared to natural physical impacts or those from recreational divers and their dive vessels. Harriott (1997) documented the impacts of recreational divers and dive boat anchors and the authors have made similar assessments in the Caribbean, eastern, central and western Pacific, South China Sea and Indian Ocean. Typically, commercial and recreational dive boat anchors/lines/chain leave an impact footprint from 20 to 80 m². The damage from a single cruise ship anchoring event in the Cayman Islands was 3180 m² (Smith, 1988). Natural phenomena, typhoons, tropical storms, large wave events, tsunamis/micro-tsunamis, and earthquakes are the primary determining factors which shape and modify FDM's physical environment between the intertidal zone and depths of 30 m. Physical impacts from ordinance training were so few in number and most were so small in size that they were judged to have only short term, limited effects.

4.2. Biological environment

Over this 16 year period, no significant adverse long-term impacts to algae, corals, macroscopic benthic invertebrates, fishes or protected species have been detected that could be reasonably attributed to training at FDM. The observations of marine life are compatible with the findings reported for the 2003, 2005 and 2007 MRAMP of the other Mariana Islands (PIFS, 2014). However, there were four notable exceptions to patterns seen elsewhere in the archipelago:

1. Enhanced coral recovery from the 2007 bleaching event, compared to coral recovery in waters of the southern Mariana Islands
2. Near total absence of black band disease, white syndrome or growth anomalies among Scleractinia
3. The complete absence of the coral eating COTS during a period in which substantial numbers were recorded at the other Mariana Islands (PIFS, 2014) and no evidence of COTS predation
4. The higher abundance of selected fishery target invertebrates, such as sea cucumbers.

These are indicative of a healthy ecosystem. Daszak et al. (2000), Worm et al. (2006) and many other investigators have noted that coastal development and associated activities are having increasingly adverse impacts upon coastal marine resources. Non-consumptive recreational activities, like skin/SCUBA diving can have profound long-term adverse impacts on corals, coral reefs and associated marine resources; this fact has been well established by numerous investigators world-wide (e.g., Sudar and Nateelkarrchanalap, 1988; Harriott, 1997; and Van Treech and Schumacher, 1998). Consumptive recreational and commercial activities, primarily fishing and the collection of aquarium specimens, adversely impact corals/coral reefs as well as the species actually captured. Raymundo et al. (2009) demonstrated that functionally diverse and healthy reef-fish populations have significant beneficial effects on coral health.

Many anthropogenic stressors that have highly deleterious impacts on coral reefs and the associated flora and fauna are greatly reduced or completely absent at FDM (Table 4).

From 1997–2005, the bony fish population was stable and fish behavior was unchanged. Even highly prized fishery target species closely associated with the island (e.g. parrotfishes, groupers and sweetlips) showed neutral or inquisitive behavior towards the divers. The coral recovery from the 2007 bleaching event was undoubtedly aided by an abundant population of herbivorous fishes (Sheppard et al., 2008, PIFS, 2014). The absence of COTS may be due to the presence of large mature Napoleon wrasse (C. undulatus), which are one of the few known predators of COTS. During the 2010 survey, the numbers of certain sought after species was lower and they were more wary of divers. This ‘flight-type behavior’ became increasingly more pronounced in 2012 and is indicative of fishes subject to spear fishing pressure. Crew of Saipan Crew Boats that provided the live-aboard vessel support from 2006 through 2012 reported to the authors that commercial spear fishers had begun to visit FDM as well as hook and line fishermen. During the 2010 survey, three separate fishing boats were sighted at FDM, two of which anchored and remained over-night. The small size of FDM makes it very vulnerable to overfishing, particularly spear fishing.

In spite of the apparent increased fishing pressure, FDM fish stocks in 2012 were still estimated to be substantially greater both in numbers of fish and larger individuals than those around any of the inhabited Mariana Islands to the south. In Pearl Harbor, the Navy’s restricted access policy was shown to result in more abundant fishes and larger individual specimens (Smith et al., 2006). Like Pearl Harbor, the Navy’s limited access policy at FDM has produced a de-facto MPA effect. The distance of FDM from inhabited islands and its exposed nature also afford it some protection.

The rate of shark sightings can be indicative of a healthy ecosystem (Nicholas et al., 2010), Shark sightings at FDM were superior even to those recorded in the Chagos Archipelago (which supports the central Indian Ocean’s most abundant shark population). Nicholas et al. (2010) reported that the mean per scientific dive in 2006 in the Chagos Archipelago was 0.4; at FDM in 2006 it was 1.27 and in 2010 and 2012 it was 3.7 and 2.0, respectively.

Sea turtles have been sighted in roughly comparable numbers during every survey between 1999 and 2012, which is much lower than, for example, Oahu, Hawaii where it was >10 sightings/observation period for the 1999–2014 time period. There are no suitable nesting beaches on FDM. No sea turtle remains, such as carapace or bone fragments, have ever been sighted or reported at FDM. The authors have encountered such remains at various locations in the Bahamas, Cayman Islands, Hawaiian Islands and Malaysia, which support resident sea turtle populations. Sea turtles around FDM probably represent transient individuals and not a resident population.

5. Conclusions

There was no evidence that any of the biological resources assessed had been adversely impacted to a significant degree by the training activities being conducted at FDM between 1997 and 2012. Instead, the benefits of restricted access to FDM have resulted in a de-facto preserve effect and outweigh what are minor negative impacts of training. Marine natural resources assessed at FDM are comparable to or superior to those at other locations within the Mariana Archipelago. This suggests that the greatest threat to FDM’s marine resources is from fishermen, not military training activities. The large numbers and large sizes of fishes at FDM are well known to many. In The State of Coral Reef Ecosystems of Guam (Burdick et al., 2008), overfishing and water pollution are listed among the most serious threats to the marine environment. FDM has been free and will remain free of the latter, but has become more vulnerable to the former.
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Appendix 1. Summary of survey methods used during the 1997–2004 surveys

These surveys were conducted by the same four biologists each year. There was one representative of the University of Hawaii, one from NOAA, and one from USFWS and one from the Government of the CNMI. Each survey began with a low altitude helicopter aerial
reconnaissance. Investigators were then towed behind inflatable boats (snorkeling) and circumnavigated the island using a Rapid Ecological Assessment (REA) approach to evaluating the marine community. These tows provided information on overall habitat type and community assemblages. Most importantly, the tows were intended to identify underwater damage that could have been the result of military training. Lastly, scuba divers were made at selected locations which the investigators deemed to warrant closer inspection. Investigators were prohibited from touching the seafloor, so no benthic transects or quadrats were utilized. Instead, point to point swims were completed while the investigators evaluated the health and abundance of selected organisms. Maximum dive depths were 18 m and dive times were 30 min. Species lists, based upon field identifications, were made during each survey for corals, selected invertebrates, and fishes. Relative abundance, per 30 min observation period, was evaluated using the following criteria: abundant (>25 individuals), common (15–25), uncommon (5 < 15), and rare (<5). During most of the survey years, each biologist completed 6 dives per a total of 24 'biological dives' per survey.

Appendix 2. Discussion of possible ordinance impacts observed during 2004 survey

The four biologist that performed the investigations during this time period unanimously agreed that the physical environment, flora, and fauna assessed were unchanged from 1997 through 2003. They determined that there were no serious impacts from exploded ordnance and the pieces of unexploded ordnance did not appear to cause any negative effects to any of the marine resources assessed. Ordinance items were often the site of settlement of a variety of marine organisms (U. S. Government, 2005). There were examples of fresh terrigenous debris on the sea floor, but the investigators concluded that none of the terrestrial items sighted between 1999 and 2003 had caused significant adverse impacts to the marine environment. No significant changes in species composition, diversity or health were detected. During the 2004 survey, areas of freshly peeled rock (several m²), broken coral and fresh boulders were observed on the sea floor. The freshly peeled rock and broken corals were bare and free from any marine growth; some of the boulders still had terrestrial grasses on them. It was clear then, that these items had only been exposed, or in the sea for a very brief time. During 2004, military training at FDM was more intense than it had been since 1999. That training took place between January and May 2004. The 2004 survey took place July 12–15, 2004. Typhoon Ting Ting (a category 1 typhoon) passed directly over FDM on June 28, 2004. After detailed analysis and discussion, the report made the following conclusion: 'Although some damage can be directly attributed to ordinance impacts, natural factors also contribute to the changes. Examination of photographs from 1944 indicate that changes in the geologic structure of the island by erosion and mass wasting...have been going on for decades...With respect to damage associated with the passage of Typhoon Ting Ting...it is clear that the breakage of some coral branches is a result of the concomitant force of large waves.... These factors suggest that the breakage was the result of storm surf...'. (U.S. Government, 2005).

Appendix 3. Infestation by the coral baneablea Cantellus sp. 2012

At the time of the 2012 survey, approximately 40% of all the P. meandrina colonies were dead or dying, as explained below. The 40% estimate was derived based upon: 1) the analysis of 100 photos taken at random around FDM, 2) Smith's qualitative estimate, and 3) limited LPI transect data. Comparative dives were made at two submerged reefs approximately 2 km north of FDM. Those reefs have never been subjected to Navy training activities. Like FDM, P. meandrina was the dominant coral at those locations. Based upon photo analysis and Smith's qualitative estimate, approximately 65 to 75% of the P. meandrina colonies at the un-named reef locations were dead or dying. The affected P. meandrina colonies appeared to be undergoing a three-phase "disease process".

- First, the colonies were severely infested with blister-like formations caused by the coral baneablea (family Pyrgommatidae—genus Cantellus).
- Second, coral colonies with numerous baneableas on all of their branches took on a bleached appearance; presumably due to the loss/expulsion of their zooxanthellae.
- Third, the bleached coral colonies were overgrown with Cyanobacteria, followed by other algal groups. Based on the physical condition of the colonies and algal communities on their skeletons, most of the deceased specimens appeared to have been dead for six to 12 months.

Generic and tentative species (Cantellus pallidus) identification of the baneablea was provided by G. Paulay and M. Malay (personal communication, 2012), based upon photos. The Cantellus group of coral baneableas is known to be very host specific to P. meandrina and closely related corals and has been previously collected off the Zealandia Bank in the Mariana Archipelago (Malayan personal communication, 2012). While hundreds of P. meandrina colonies were infested with Cantellus sp., only one colony of Acropora sp. and seven colonies of P. eydouyi were sighted with any Cantellus sp. baneableas. All eight of those colonies were judged to be 'holding their own' against the baneablea. Cantellus baneableas are a large, taxonomically complex group, members of which also infest the coral genera Acropora, Montipora and Porites (Malayan personal communication, 2012). Although these three genera are well represented at FDM, only a single colony of Acropora was infested. Cantellus sp. baneableas found on Pavilipora sp. are usually rare, and cryptic, and only found on the lower or inner branches of the coral colonies. At FDM in 2012, over 90% of the infested colonies were covered with the baneableas all the way out to the tips of the branches.

Appendix 4. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.07.023.
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