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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Recent concern has been raised over the potential need for a Coral Reef Fisheries Management Plan for the Western Pacific region. On a global basis, coral reefs are valuable economic resources, although many reefs ecosystems have been degraded because of human-caused damage or over-exploitation. The overall area of coral reef habitat in the Western Pacific Regional Fisheries Management Council (WPRFMC) region is estimated at 15,852 km², the majority of which (10,762 km²) is under WPRFMC fisheries management jurisdiction (generally 3-200 nm from shore). Major resource uses for most of the region are limited to areas close to shore (<3 nm) and population centers. The general condition of reefs in the region varies from poor to excellent, again related to proximity to population centers and regions of coastal development.

Precious coral, lobster, bottom fish and pelagic fisheries are currently managed within the WPRFMC region. Present and future potential uses of coral reef resources not currently managed within the region may include the collection of live corals and "live rock" for the aquarium trade. Live rock is an essentially non-renewable resource, although efforts are underway to investigate the potential for aquacultural production of live rock. Fisheries Management Councils in Florida and the Gulf of Mexico have recently passed management plans that will limit and eventually ban (in 1997) the collection of live rock in the EEZs of those waters. These actions may be expected to cause live rock collectors in that industry to look elsewhere for resources.

Reef resources in most areas of the WPRFMC have not been adequately mapped nor inventoried. There is a need for baseline information specific to these areas to provide information necessary for their conservation and management.
Introduction

Rationale for Report

In 1994, a U.S. Coral Reef Initiative was proposed and plans were put in action to develop a strategy of integrated programs with the overall intent of strengthening reef protection and improving monitoring and research on coral reef ecosystems. State, federal, regional, and international agencies and organizations are participating in various aspects of the proposed initiative. The first steps in the involvement of most agencies in the Coral Reef Initiative will involve an assessment of the adequacy of their current management regimes and a compilation or summary of data on reef resources for pertinent regions.

The Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council (WPRFMC) establishes policy for fisheries management in federal waters of the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) around American Samoa, Guam, Hawaii, the Northern Marianas, and other American Flag Pacific Islands (AFPI). In response to the Coral Reef Initiative and growing concern about the health and sustainability of coral reef resources in the Pacific, WPRFMC has begun an assessment of the need, value, and feasibility of establishing a Coral Reef Fishery Management Plan for the Western Pacific Region. In order to provide a basis for decision-making on these issues, this report will review the current status of coral reefs and use of reef resources in the region.

Legal jurisdiction for fisheries management around many reefs in the WPRFMC region is complex, extending in some cases to multiple government entities or agencies. Passage of the federal Omnibus Act, currently before Congress, would transfer authority of a number of islands and their submerged lands within 0-3 nm of shore to the State of Hawaii or the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. Jurisdiction according to the opinion of legal counsel for WPRFMC (T.M. Beuttler, NOAA General Counsel, 17 February 1995), and islands potentially impacted by the Omnibus Act are presented in Table 1.
Objectives

The objectives of this review were the following:

1. Define locations and areas of reefs [total km$^2$ per island/atoll/ or shoal] within 0-3 nm and 3-200 nm from shore for Hawaii [Main Hawaiian Islands (MHI) and Northwest Hawaiian Islands (NWHI)], American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Marianas, Johnston, Howland, Baker, Jarvis, Palmyra, Kingman Reef and Wake;

2. Qualify reef habitats and condition, predominant coral species, and related ecosystem components;

3. Describe human use of each reef area, including exploitation methods, economic/subsistence value, tourism/recreational use, social/cultural significance (where available), sustainability, and development potential;

4. Describe resource use problems or conflicts; and

5. List potential management or information needs by major area and address the feasibility of management options.
Reef Areas in the WPRFMC Region

Coral reef ecosystems are the most biologically diverse of all marine ecosystems (Grassle, et. al., 1990). Reef habitat is primarily composed of living stony coral and coralline algae growing on hard substratum (usually older reef structure but often on basalt). In addition, reef habitat may be the structural remnants of coral that thrived in previous periods but that still have numerous associated reef organisms (i.e. "live rock"). The vertical relief and habitat complexity provided by either live coral, live rock, or a mixture of these, enhances the biodiversity and fisheries productivity of coastal marine areas in the tropical and sub-tropical Pacific (Grigg, 1994).

Although maximum reef growth and productivity occurs between 5-15 m (Hopley and Kinsey, 1988), maximum diversity occurs at 10-30 m (Huston, 1985), extensive coral growth may occur at depths to 100 m or more (Veron, 1986). Reef habitat for the present study was defined as the substratum adjacent to coastlines (or on shoals) from depths of 0-100 m that is primarily composed of hard-bottom. Because of physical constraints (e.g. sandy or muddy bottom, proximity to stream mouths, exposure at low tides, high wave energy) all of this area is not available to nor colonized by living coral. The effects of hurricanes, crown-of-thorns sea star predation, subsidence/ uplifting, or volcanism may dramatically alter the measurable amount of living coral in an area over historical or geological time periods.

Even within a thriving coral reef habitat, not all space is occupied by corals or coralline algae; reefs are typically patchworks of coral, algae, and sand. Brock, et al. (1965) estimated that the percent cover of coral at Johnston Atoll ranged from 60-100%. Grigg and Dollar (1980) estimated coral cover at sites within the Hawaiian archipelago ranging between 8-98%. Reef flats at French Frigate Shoals had 17% coral cover while lagoon reefs averaged 6% (Atkinson and Grigg, 1984). DiMartini, et al. (1994) described the reefs of Midway Atoll as >90% dead coral rock on the outer barrier reef; microatolls of Montipora spp. were 30-70 % live coral inside the reef.

The importance of the above discussion is that coral reef ecosystems are extremely variable in their spatial features, coral cover, and physiography (and
thus productivity). Most of the reef areas in the WPRFMC region have not been quantitatively assessed. Thus, the individual and combined estimates of reef area presented in this report must be cautiously interpreted as approximations.

Table 2 summarizes the areal coverage of coral reef habitat from 0-3 nm and from 3-200 nm from shore for each area within the WPRFMC region. A breakdown of island size and reef area statistics for each island, atoll, or shoal are presented in Table 3. Reef type and dominant coral species are provided in Table 4.

The WPRFMC region comprises a total of 106 recognized reef areas with a combined estimated area of 15,852 km$^2$. All known reef types [atoll, fringing, barrier/lagoon, submerged reefs or shoals, and non-structural reef communities (sensu Maragos and Holthus, in press)] are represented within the region.

Main Hawaiian Islands—Coral reefs around most of the Main Hawaiian Islands (Oahu, Molokai, Kauai, and Maui) were meticulously mapped in the late 1970's and early 1980's as part of a state-wide coastal inventory sponsored by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Hawaii State Department of Transportation (AECOS, 1979; 1981a,b; 1984). However, no quantification of overall reef area was attempted as part of these studies.

Grigg and Dollar (1980) estimated percent coral cover, species diversity, coral growth rate, and the area of reef habitat between 0-20 m around Hawaii, Oahu, Kauai, and Maui. They found that the number of species was highest at French Frigate Shoals (23) and Maro Reef (21) and suggested that the factor most affecting coral species diversity and community structure in the Hawaiian archipelago was diversity of habitat type (rather than latitude or island size as had been a priori predictions). High islands with primarily only seaward reefs and reef flats had generally lower species diversity than areas (e.g. French Frigate Shoals and Maro Reef) with lagoons, patch reefs, etc., in addition to seaward reef and reef flats. Coral species diversity and community structure within an area appear to be controlled largely by successional age related to the frequency and intensity of (usually physical) disturbances (Grigg and Maragos, 1974; Grigg and Dollar, 1980; Grigg, 1983).
Reef habitat of the Main Hawaiian Islands within WPRFMC jurisdiction (3-200 nm) occurs only at Penguin Bank and a small area around Kaula. Navigational charts show numerous areas of coral or coralline algae on Penguin Bank at depths of approximately 50 m, although areas between 50-100 m depth have been described as having a low abundance of corals and are predominantly coralline algae, *Halimeda*, bryozoans, and pen shells (Agegian and Abbott, 1985).

**Northwestern Hawaiian Islands**--The majority of coral reef habitat within the WPRFMC region occurs in the northwest Hawaiian archipelago, with 48% of the reef area in the region between 0-3 nm and 85% of reef area at distances of 3-200 nm from shore. Numerous studies on corals, fish, and invertebrates have been conducted by University of Hawaii and other researchers at Midway and French Frigate Shoals. Species diversity, percent coral cover and area of reef habitat between 0-20 m were estimated around nine islands or atolls (Grigg and Dollar, 1980; see above discussion).

**American Samoa**--Qualitative studies of reefs around Tutuila in 1980 and 1992 provide fairly thorough descriptions of species diversity and changes in nearshore reef habitat over that period (AECOS, 1980; Maragos *et al.*, 1994). Baseline quantitative surveys have been conducted at Fagatele Bay (Birkeland, *et al.*, 1987; 1991; in prep.), other sites around Tutuila (Birkeland and Randall, 1979) and at Ofu (Hunter, *et al.*, 1993) and more areas have been recently established by the Department of Marine and Wildlife Resources (DMWR) for continued quantitative monitoring. DMWR recently completed quantitative surveys of the fish, sessile invertebrates, giant clams, and crown-of-thorns sea stars on five of the seven island in the Territory (pers. comm., A. Green & P. Craig, DMWR, March, 1995). DMWR also estimates annual harvests of reef fish and invertebrates. Craig, *et al.*, (1993) reviewed the current status of the rapidly collapsing coral reef fisheries in American Samoa.

Only a small area of reef habitat (approximately 25 km$^2$) located 3-5 nm off the west (Cape Taputapu) and east (Cape Matatula) shores of Tutuila is under WPRFMC jurisdiction. Navigational charts indicate coral on these shoals at depths of 60-120 m but no additional information is available on their abundance and diversity.
Guam--Nearshore coral reefs of Guam were extensively mapped (Randall, 1976) but overall reef areas have not yet been quantified. Quantitative surveys are rare except for a few areas on Guam, although long-term monitoring sites have been established in recent years (Pacific Basin Development Council, 1995).

Four submerged banks are located within 3-200 nm of the island of Guam with a combined area of 110 km²: Galvez Banks, Rota Banks, Santa Rosa Banks, and unnamed shoals south of Guam. There is no available information on the type or extent of reef development in these areas.

Northern Marianas Islands--Jurisdiction of fisheries (and coral reefs) from 0-200 nm appears, at present, to be under WPRFMC authority. Although University of Guam researchers have surveyed reef areas in Saipan, Rota, and Tinian, there have been no systematic inventories of reefs surrounding the northern islands. However, a recent publication (Asakura and Furuki, 1994--not seen) describes specimens collected during a biological expedition to the northern islands in 1992 (pers. comm., J. Gourley, March, 1995).

Beyond 3 nm from shore, only the shoals surrounding Farallon de Medinilla and the shoals and lagoon system at 3-4 nm off the west coast of Saipan contain reef habitat. However, navigational charts indicate that at least 20 submerged shoals scattered among the northern islands and from 120-180 nm to the west of the island chain contain coral reef habitat. Again, there have been no systematic inventories of these reef areas.

Other American Flag Pacific Islands--WPRFMC jurisdiction extends 0-200 nm from shore for Howland, Baker, and Jarvis Islands and from 3-200 nm around Johnston, Palmyra, Kingman, Wake, and Midway. Coral reef habitat surveys have been conducted only at Johnston and Midway. No surveys have been made on reefs beyond 3 nm from shore, although substantial reef habitat occurs in these waters, particularly around Johnston and Palmyra.
Human Use of Reef Resources

Major uses of reef resources in the WPRFMC region are summarized in Table 5. Estimated values of resources in U.S. dollars per annum are also presented where available. Although dollar amounts are more often available for commercial fishing, the estimated values of artisanal/ recreational fishing, tourism, and research (where available) far surpass those of commercial fish, lobster, or precious coral landings combined. It is also noteworthy that these diverse resource uses may often result in user conflicts. Overfished or damaged reefs generally lose their attraction to tourists. The value of shoreline coastal protection from wave action and erosion provided by reefs has not been estimated in this report.

In terms of productivity, gross primary production in an area of high (near 100%) coral cover may be 20 g C m\(^2\) d\(^{-1}\) while adjacent areas of sand or coralline algae produce approximately 1-5 g C m\(^2\) d\(^{-1}\) (Kinsey, 1991). Interestingly, because of low nutrient input and internal recycling of nutrients, net productivity of reefs is a relatively small proportion (about 2-3%) of this amount and only slightly higher than that of surrounding oceanic waters (Kinsey, 1991). Therefore, although standing crops on reefs may be high, sustainable fisheries yields may be much lower, on the order of 100 g C m\(^2\) yr\(^{-1}\) Wilkinson and Buddemeier (1994).

Fisheries productivity is also dependent on depth, coral cover, reef species composition, and spatial heterogeneity (Marten and Polovina, 1982). Arias-Gonzales, et al. (1994) found that the sizes of areas on which estimates of fisheries yields were based were inversely proportional to the size of observed catches of reef fishes for those areas; smaller study areas produced larger estimated yields by approximately an order of magnitude. Numbers of invertebrates (e.g. giant clams, Trochus, and sea cucumbers) are also dependent on reef depth and bottom types. Therefore, estimates of potential fisheries productivity are affected by intrinsic physical and biological features of reefs as well as by the size of the reef areas surveyed.

Reef-related tourism is a large and growing industry, particularly in the Main Hawaiian Islands, Guam, and Saipan. In these areas, scuba diving and
snorkeling activities are dependent on the quality of coral reef habitat. Other areas in the WPRFMC region are not tourist destinations because of their remote location or protected status.

Extraction of coral for use as curio pieces or as live rock for the aquarium trade has been severely restricted or banned for most nearshore reef areas in the WPRFMC region. The State of Hawaii prohibits taking or damaging of any coral or live rock; selling of the eight most common local species is also prohibited. In American Samoa, collection of coral is banned at depths < 60' and only by permit at depths >60'. No collection of corals is permitted in Guam except for scientific or educational purposes. In the Northern Mariana Islands, live coral collection is banned and a permit is required for taking of dead coral (primarily used for manufacture of "afuk", or betel nut lime). Despite these restrictions, poaching abetted by lack of enforcement of regulations occurs in most, if not all, areas (Pacific Basin Development Council, 1995).

The U.S. imported 345,000 pieces of live coral in 1991. Although taking of live corals is prohibited in many areas, live corals are imported to the U.S. and European aquarium trade markets, largely from Indonesia, Haiti, the Philippines, southeast Asia, Fiji, Tonga, and Kiribati (Wells, et al., 1994). The Marshall Islands are also reported to have begun exporting coral and live rock within the past year (pers. comm., A. Orcutt, UH Sea Grant). Import of corals to the U.S. is addressed by the Lacey Act which prohibits import of illegally collected or exported species. Parties to the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) require a permit from the country of origin before allowing import of stony corals (Wells, et al., 1994).

Extraction of live rock is considered to be consumption of an essentially non-renewable resource (Florida Marine Fisheries Commission, 1992). The present demand for live rock in the U.S. and European aquarium trade is estimated to be 1000 tons/year (Delreek and Sprunge, 1994). Domestic harvest of live rock in the U.S. provides less than half of this amount. Reported landings of live rock in Florida totaled approximately 390 tons in 1992 (Wells, et al., 1994). The estimated ex-vessel value of live rock from the EEZ off Florida in FY1995 was predicted to be $3.5 million (Florida Marine Fisheries Commission, 1992). However, the most recent amendment to the Fishery Management Plan
for Coral and Coral Reefs of the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic (December, 1994) stipulated a quota of 485,000 lbs of live rock in 1995 and a step-down closure of the fishery in 1996 and 1997. This closure may be expected to encourage live rock collectors to search elsewhere for sources.

In addition to harvesting live coral and live rock from natural reef communities, recent efforts have been initiated to investigate the potential for producing these resources through aquaculture. Progress in spawning and culturing of corals has been made in public aquaria (Yates and Carlson, 1993) that could eventually lead to the replacement of wild-caught stocks in the aquarium trade. University of Guam researchers are also exploring the feasibility of using laboratory-reared coral larvae to reseed disturbed or damaged reef areas (Richmond, 1993). Sea Grant is supporting trials in Florida and Hawaii to produce commercially viable live rock using cement or other alternative substrates (Wells, et al., 1994).
Condition and Quality of Coral Reefs in the WPRFMC Region

A qualitative evaluation of the general condition of each reef area (where available) is presented in Table 6. With noted exceptions, most reefs in the region are in excellent (pristine) condition because of their remoteness from land and/or their protected status. Reefs close to human population centers or areas of rapid development show characteristic deterioration from the single or combined impacts of runoff-siltation, eutrophication, industrial pollution, dredging-filling, destructive fishing methods and overfishing. Over-harvesting of reef fishes, particularly algal grazers, can lead to proliferation of macro-algae that eventually smother or otherwise outcompete slower-growing corals for space (Hughes, 1993). Over-use from reef-related tourism activities, including trampling, anchor damage, and ancillary pressures of increased coastal construction, sewage disposal, and seafood collecting, may also be damaging to reefs. Reports of damage from anthropogenic stresses to reefs in the WPRFMC region are summarized in Table 6.

In addition to human-caused stresses, natural forces including typhoons, predation (e.g. corallivorous crown-of-thorns sea stars, Drupella snails), and disease have caused tremendous damage to reefs worldwide. In fact, such disturbances create new open space on reefs allowing recruitment of larvae; intermediate levels of disturbances have been shown to maximize coral species diversity (Grigg, 1983). However, most reef scientists are in agreement that, while reefs have survived and been resilient to natural stresses for millennia, the addition of chronic anthropogenic stress can have long-term negative impacts (Grigg and Dollar, 1990; Hughes, 1993; Wilkinson, 1993; Wilkinson and Buddemeier, 1994).

Of all reefs in the WPRFMC region, those in American Samoa have probably suffered the most damage and degradation. Living coral cover on reefs surrounding Tutuila is currently very low (3-13%, down from 60%) because of a series of typhoons and crown-of-thorns sea star infestations, coupled in some areas with siltation stress, pollution and over-fishing (American Samoa Department of Wildlife and Marine Resources Advisory, December, 1994). Giant clams have been harvested to very low levels on Tutuila; the collection of dead of coral rubble for traditional uses and cement has also resulted in reef degradation
(pers. comm., A. Green & P. Craig, DMWR, March, 1995). For reef fishes, catch-per-unit-effort in American Samoa has declined 50% over the past 15 years (Craig, et al., 1993) and industrial pollution has created unsafe levels of heavy metals and hydrocarbons in fish caught in Pago Pago harbor (American Samoa Dept. of Health, 1991). Reefs in Guam, Saipan, and the Main Hawaiian Islands have also shown deterioration stemming mainly from coastal construction, siltation, and the effects of overfishing (Pacific Basin Development Council, 1995).
Management Needs for Corals and Reefs in the AFPI-EEZ

Reef resources around most inhabited Pacific islands presumably have been sustainably used for thousands of years. However, there is escalating concern that population increases and poor management practices have resulted in, and will continue to cause, deterioration of reef quality and productivity in many areas. Unfortunately, there are few long-term quantitative assessments of the abundance and health of coral reef communities. Such baseline information is crucial and primary to management needs of reef resources.

Increased public awareness of the value of coral reefs as well as an understanding of potential threats to their sustainable use is also of critical importance to the protection of these resources. In addition to extractive resource use of reef areas for food, construction material or the aquarium trade, a large part of the "value" often attributed to coral reefs is one of natural beauty and esthetics. However, tourism itself can be an ecologically benign "use" of coral reefs only if carefully managed so as to prevent over-use and damage.

Management options for a potential WPRFMC Coral Reef Fisheries Management Plan may include elements similar to those of other fisheries: limited entry, catch limits, protected areas or reserves, or seasonal restrictions. Guidelines for evaluation and management of coral harvesting have been thoroughly outlined by Wells, et al. (1994). These authors emphasize the importance and need for information on the basic biology of potential coral fishery species--reproductive season, recruitment and growth rates, natural mortality--upon which to base strategies for sustainable yields. Grigg (1984) applied the Beverton-Holt fisheries management model to generate an estimate of maximum sustained yield (MSY) and minimum size for harvest for the rose coral, *P. meandrina*, based on age and growth rates of this species measured in the Philippines. He found that native fishermen were harvesting this species near the calculated MSY level of 18 cm colony diameter (6 year age class). Grigg (1976) estimated that size at sexual maturity for this coral was approximately 16 cm. Such information is presently available for a only a small percentage of coral species.
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