## 1.2.12 Status Determination Criteria

#### 1.2.12.1 Bottomfish Fishery

Overfishing criteria and control rules are specified and applied to individual species within the multi-species stock whenever possible. When this is not possible, they are based on an indicator species for the multi-species stock. It is important to recognize that individual species would be affected differently based on this type of control rule, and it is important that for any given species fishing, mortality does not currently exceed a level that would result in excessive depletion of that species. No indicator species are being used for the bottomfish multi-species stock complex. Instead, the control rules are applied to each stock complex as a whole.

The MSY control rule is used as the maximum fishing mortality threshold (MFMT). The MFMT and minimum stock size threshold (MSST) are specified based on recommendations in Restrepo et al. (1998) and both are dependent on the natural mortality rate (M; Table 1). The value of M used to determine the reference point values is not specified in this section. The latest estimate, published annually in the SAFE report, is used and the value is occasionally re-estimated using the best available information. The range of M among species within a stock complex is taken into consideration when estimating and choosing the M to be used for the purpose of computing the reference point values.

In addition to the thresholds MFMT and MSST, a warning reference point,  $B_{FLAG}$ , is specified at some point above the MSST to provide a trigger for consideration of management action prior to B reaching the threshold.

MFMT	MSST	B <sub>FLAG</sub>
$F(B) = \frac{F_{MSY}B}{c B_{MSY}} \text{ for } B \le c B_{MSY}$ $F(B) = F_{MSY} \text{ for } B > c B_{MSY}$	c B <sub>MSY</sub>	B <sub>MSY</sub>
	where $c = \max(1-M, 0.5)$	

Table 1. Overfishing threshold specifications for Guam BMUS

Standardized values of fishing effort (E) and CPUE are used as proxies for F and B, respectively, so E<sub>MSY</sub>, CPUE<sub>MSY</sub>, and CPUE<sub>FLAG</sub> are used as proxies for F<sub>MSY</sub>, B<sub>MSY</sub>, and B<sub>FLAG</sub>, respectively.

In cases where reliable estimates of CPUE<sub>MSY</sub> and  $E_{MSY}$  are not available, they will be estimated from catch and effort times series, standardized for all identifiable biases. CPUE<sub>MSY</sub> would be calculated as half of a multi-year average reference CPUE, called CPUE<sub>REF</sub>. The multi-year reference window would be objectively positioned in time to maximize the value of CPUE<sub>REF</sub>.  $E_{MSY}$  would be calculated using the same approach or, following Restrepo et al. (1998), by setting  $E_{MSY}$  equal to  $E_{AVE}$ , where  $E_{AVE}$  represents the long-term average effort prior to declines in CPUE. When multiple estimates are available, the more precautionary one is used.

Since the MSY control rule specified here applies to multi-species stock complexes, it is important to ensure that no particular species within the complex has a mortality rate that leads to excessive depletion. In order to accomplish this, a secondary set of reference points is specified to evaluate stock status with respect to recruitment overfishing. A secondary "recruitment overfishing" control rule is specified to control fishing mortality with respect to that status. The rule applies only to those component stocks (species) for which adequate data are available. The ratio of a current spawning stock biomass proxy (SSBP<sub>t</sub>) to a given reference level (SSBP<sub>REF</sub>) is used to determine if individual stocks are experiencing recruitment overfishing. SSBP is CPUE scaled by percent mature fish in the catch. When the ratio SSBP<sub>t</sub>/SSBP<sub>REF</sub>, or the "SSBP ratio" (SSBPR) for any species drops below a certain limit (SSBPR<sub>MIN</sub>), that species is considered to be recruitment overfished and management measures will be implemented to reduce fishing mortality on that species. The rule applies only when the SSBPR drops below the SSBPR<sub>MIN</sub>, but it will continue to apply until the ratio achieves the "SSBP ratio recovery target" (SSBPR<sub>TARGET</sub>), which is set at a level no less than SSBPR<sub>MIN</sub>. These two reference points and their associated recruitment overfishing control rule, which prescribe a target fishing mortality rate ( $F_{RO-REBUILD}$ ) as a function of the SSBPR, are specified as indicated in Table 2. Again,  $E_{MSY}$  is used as a proxy for  $F_{MSY}$ .

	<b>F</b> <sub>RO-REBUILD</sub>	SSBPR <sub>MIN</sub>	<b>SSBPR</b> <sub>target</sub>
F(SSBPR) = 0	for SSBPR $\leq 0.10$		
$F(SSBPR) = 0.2 F_{MSY}$	for $0.10 < SSBPR \le SSBPR_{MIN}$	0.20	0.30
$F(SSBPR) = 0.5 F_{MSY}$	for $SSBPR_{MIN} < SSBPR \leq SSBPR_{TARGET}$		

## 1.2.12.2 Current Stock Status

#### 1.2.12.2.1 Bottomfish

Biological and other fishery data are poor for all bottomfish species in the Mariana Archipelago. Generally, data are only available on commercial landings by species and CPUE for the multispecies complexes as a whole. At this time, it is not possible to partition these effort measures among the various BMUS. The most recent stock assessment (Langseth et al. 2019) for the Guam BMUS complex (comprised of 11 species of shallow and deep species of snapper, grouper, jacks, and emperors) was based on estimate of total catch, an abundance index derived from the nominal CPUE generated from the creel surveys. The assessments used a state-space Bayesian surplus production model within the modeling framework Just Another Bayesian Biomass Assessment (JABBA), which included biological information and fishery-dependent data through 2017. Determinations of overfishing and overfished status can then be made by comparing current biomass and harvest rates to MSY level reference points. To date, the Guam BMUS is in an overfished state but not undergoing overfishing.

Table 3. Stock assessment parameters for	the Guam BMUS complex (from Langseth et al.
	2019)

Parameter	Value	Notes	Status
MSY	42.1 (29.3-65.5)	Expressed in 1000 lb (with	
NIS I	42.1 (29.3-03.3)	95% confidence interval)	
H <sub>2017</sub>	0.11	Expressed in percentage	
		Expressed in percentage	
H <sub>CR</sub>	0.17 (0.071 - 0.382)	(with 95% confidence	
		interval)	
H/H <sub>CR</sub>	0.81		No overfishing occurring

B <sub>2017</sub>	143.0	Expressed in thousand pounds	
B <sub>MSY</sub>	248.8 (107.1-636.8)	Expressed in 1000 lb (with 95% confidence interval)	
B/B <sub>MSY</sub>	0.57		Overfished

# 1.2.13 Overfishing Limit, Acceptable Biological Catch, and Annual Catch Limits

# 1.2.13.1 Brief Description of the ACL Process

The Council developed a tiered system of control rules to guide the specification of ACLs and Accountability Measures (AMs; WPRFMC 2011). The process starts with the use of the best scientific information available (BSIA) in the form of, but not limited to, stock assessments, published papers, reports, and/or available data. These data are categorized into the different tiers in the control rule ranging from Tier 1 (i.e., most information available, typically a stock assessment) to Tier 5 (i.e., catch-only information). The control rules are applied to the BSIA. Tiers 1 to 3 involve conducting a Risk of Overfishing Analysis (denoted by P\*) to quantify the scientific uncertainties associated with the assessment to specify the Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC), lowering the MSY-based OFL to the ABC. A Social, Ecological, Economic, and Management (SEEM) Uncertainty Analysis is performed to quantify the uncertainties associated with the SEEM factors, and a buffer is used to lower the ABC to an ACL. For Tier 4, which is comprised of stocks with MSY estimates but no active fisheries, the control rule is 91 percent of MSY. For Tier 5, which has catch-only information, the control rule is a one-third reduction in the median catch depending on a qualitative evaluation of stock status via expert opinion. ACL specification can choose from a variety of methods including the above mentioned SEEM analysis or a percentage buffer (i.e., percent reduction from ABC based on expert opinion) or the use of an Annual Catch Target (ACT). Specifications are done on an annual basis, but the Council normally produces a multi-year specification.

The AM for Guam bottomfish fisheries is an overage adjustment. The next ACL is downward adjusted with the amount of overage from the previous ACL based on a three-year running average.

## 1.2.13.2 Current OFL, ABC, ACL, and Recent Catch

As of the publishing of this report, no ACLs were implemented by NMFS for Guam BMUS in 2020. However, NMFS is currently in the rulemaking process to implement ACLs for the Marianas bottomfish fisheries for 2020 through 2022. The catch shown in Table 4 takes the average of the most recent three years as recommended by the Council at its 160<sup>th</sup> meeting to avoid large fluctuations in catch due to high interannual variability in estimates.

Fishery	MUS	OFL	ABC	ACL	Catch
Bottomfish	Bottomfish multi-species complex	36,000	27,000	TBD	25,555

Table 4. Guam 2019 ACL table with	three-year average catch (lb)
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------

### 1.2.14 Best Scientific Information Available

## 1.2.14.1 Bottomfish fishery

### 1.2.14.1.1 Stock Assessment Benchmark

The benchmark stock assessment for the Territory Bottomfish Management Unit Species complex was developed and finalized by Langseth et al. (2019). The assessments used a statespace Bayesian surplus production model within the modeling framework Just Another Bayesian Biomass Assessment (JABBA). Estimates of harvest rate (*H*), annual biomass (*B*), the harvest rate associated with overfishing as determined by the harvest control rule ( $H_{CR}$ ), maximum sustainable yield (*MSY*), and the biomass at maximum sustainable yield ( $B_{MSY}$ ) allowed for determination of stock status relative to reference points determining overfishing ( $H/H_{CR} > 1$ ) and overfished ( $B < 0.7 \times B_{MSY}$ ) status. Stock projections were conducted for 2020-2025 for a range of hypothetical 6-year catches, and the corresponding risk of overfishing was calculated.

#### 1.2.14.1.2 Stock Assessment Updates

Updates to the 2007 benchmark were done in 2012 (Brodziak et al. 2012) and 2015 (Yau et al. 2016). These included a three-year stock projection table used for selecting the level of risk the fishery will be managed under ACLs. Yau et al. (2016) is considered the BSIA for the Guam BMUS complex after undergoing a Western Pacific Stock Assessment Review (WPSAR) Tier 3 panel review (Franklin et al. 2015) prior to the Langseth et al. (2019) benchmark stock assessment. This was the basis for the P\* and SEEM analyses that previously determined the risk levels to specify past ABCs and ACLs.

#### 1.2.14.1.3 Other Information Available

Approximately every five years PIFSC administers a socioeconomic survey to small boat fishermen in Guam. This survey consists of about 60 questions regarding a variety of topics, including fishing experiences, market participation, vessels and gear, demographics and household income, and fishermen perspectives. The survey requests participants to identify which MUS they primarily targeted during the previous 12 months, by percentage of trips. Full reports of these surveys can be found at the <u>PIFSC Socioeconomics webpage</u>.